ISSN No:-2456-2165 https://doi.org/10.38124/ijisrt/25may078

Analytical Methods for the Detection of Counterfeit Pharmaceuticals

Koteru Aparna[1]; M. Sadan[2]; G. Kranthi kumar[3*]

[1]M Pharmacy Department of Pharmaceutical Analysis, Raghavendra Institute of Pharmaceutical Education and Research, K.R. Palli Cross, Anantapur, Chiyoda, Andhra Pradesh -515721-India.
[2]Assistant Professor of Department of Pharmaceutical Analysis, Raghavendra Institute of Pharmaceutical Education and Research, K.R. Palli Cross, Anantapur, Chiyoda, Andhra Pradesh -515721-India.
[3]Assistant Professor Department of Pharmaceutical Analysis, Raghavendra Institute of Pharmaceutical Education and Research, K.R. Palli Cross, Anantapur, Chiyyedu, Andhra Pradesh -515721-India.

Corresponding Address: G. Kranthi kumar[3*]

Publication Date: 2025/05/19

Abstract: Counterfeit pharmaceuticals pose a significant threat to public health and safety, leading to potentially harmful consequences such as treatment failure, drug resistance, and even death. Therefore, the development and implementation of effective analytical methods for detecting counterfeit pharmaceuticals are paramount. This review explores various analytical techniques employed for the detection of counterfeit pharmaceuticals, including spectroscopic methods (such as UV-Vis, FTIR, and Raman spectroscopy), chromatographic techniques (such as HPLC and GC-MS), mass spectrometry, nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy, and immunoassays. Each method's principles, advantages, limitations, and applications in pharmaceutical analysis are discussed. Additionally, advancements in technology, such as portable and handheld devices, are examined for their potential to enhance on-site detection capabilities. Furthermore, the role of regulatory authorities and international collaborations in combating counterfeit pharmaceuticals through analytical methods is elucidated. By leveraging these analytical tools, stakeholders in the pharmaceutical industry, regulatory agencies, and law enforcement can work together to mitigate the risks associated with counterfeit drugs and safeguard public health.

Keywords: *Counterfeit Pharmaceuticals, Analytical Methods, Spectroscopy, Chromatography, Mass Spectrometry.*

How to Cite: Koteru Aparna; M. Sadan; G.; Kranthi kumar. (2025). Analytical Methods for the Detection of Counterfeit Pharmaceuticals. *International Journal of Innovative Science and Research Technology,* 10 (5), 371-380. https://doi.org/10.38124/ijisrt/25may078

I. INTRODUCTION

A. Definition of Counterfeit Pharmaceuticals:

Usually, the purpose of producing these goods is to mislead regulators, medical professionals, or consumers. Drugs that are counterfeit may include dangerous components, doses, or simply erroneous compositions, endangering public health and safety [1]. Falsified documents, illicit distribution methods, and unapproved manufacturing facilities are just a few ways they could get into the supply chain [2]. Pharmaceutical counterfeiting is a global problem with substantial effects on the economy, health, and society that affects both industrialized and developing nations [3].

B. Importance of Detecting Counterfeit Drugs:

Prompt detection of counterfeit drugs is crucial to protect public health and maintain the integrity of the pharmaceutical supply chain [4]. Counterfeit drugs pose risks like inadequate therapy and adverse reactions, undermining patient safety and trust in healthcare systems [5]. Identifying fake medications ensures regulatory compliance, medication quality, and patient well-being [6].

C. Overview of Analytical Methods:

Analytical procedures, crucial for detecting counterfeit pharmaceuticals, encompass thermal, spectroscopic, immunochemical, chromatographic, mass spectrometric, and microscopic techniques [7]. Methods like UV-Visible, IR, Raman spectroscopy, TLC, GC, HPLC, LC-MS, and GC-MS identify and measure drug constituents and impurities [8]. NMR, electrochemical analysis, immunoassays, microscopy, XRD, and thermal analysis provide additional insights [9].

D. Threats and risks of counterfeit medicines:

- Health Risks: Incorrect ingredients or dosages can cause harm or ineffective treatment [10].
- Resistance: Substandard doses contribute to drug-resistant diseases.

ISSN No:-2456-2165 https://doi.org/10.38124/ijisrt/25may078

- Economic Damage: Legitimate businesses suffer revenue loss and job cuts.
- Trust Erosion: Public confidence in healthcare and regulators wanes.
- Legal Action: Manufacturing and distribution are illegal, leading to penalties.
- Global Health Crisis: Cross-border circulation worsens infectious diseases.
- Patient Harm: Unwitting patients face health risks and treatment failures.
- Quality Control Struggles: Sophisticated methods are needed for detection.
- Criminal Networks: Organized crime profits, involving money laundering and corruption.
- Vulnerable Groups: Low-income and underprivileged bear disproportionate risks [11].

E. SSFFC

Many countries have laws defining SSFFC medical products, typically involving misrepresentation and leading to unexpected quality [12]. Unlike substandard medicines from manufacturing errors, SSFFC issues may be intentional [13]. A 2010 WHO survey of national laws, with responses from 60 states, is available on their website, aiding classification and analysis of SSFFC incidents [14].

- *Methods*

- Physical Inspection: Visual examination of packaging, labelling, and product appearance for irregularities or discrepancies.
- Chemical Analysis: Testing product composition and ingredients to verify authenticity and quality.
- Pharmacological Testing: Evaluating the potency and efficacy of pharmaceutical substances through laboratory analysis.
- Track and Trace Systems: Implementing systems to track products throughout the supply chain, ensuring authenticity and preventing tampering.
- Authentication Technologies: Employing technologies like holograms, barcodes, RFID tags, or serial numbers to authenticate products.
- Surveillance and Monitoring: Regularly monitoring markets and supply chains for suspicious activities or products.
- Collaboration and Information Sharing: Sharing intelligence and collaborating with regulatory agencies, law enforcement, and international organizations to identify and combat SSFFC products [15].

II. TYPES OF COUNTERFEIT PHARMACEUTICALS

A. Counterfeit Drugs

Pharmaceutical items that are purposefully and fraudulently made to look like authentic medications but are either wholly phony or of lower quality are known as counterfeit drugs [16]. These goods pose serious dangers to patients' health and safety since they may include improper active ingredients, doses, or no active ingredients at all. Falsified paperwork, unlicensed production sites, unlicensed distribution networks, and other unlawful methods are common ways that counterfeit medications get past regulatory supervision and quality control systems and into the supply chain [17]. They are a widespread issue that impact many facets of society, especially vulnerable populations including the elderly, children, and people with chronic illnesses [18]. They are present in both industrialized and developing nations.

Table1 Potential Limitations in Identifying Counterfeit Drugs

Potential Limits	Description
Quality	Counterfeit drugs may contain incorrect or harmful ingredients or lack active ingredients.
Packaging	Packaging of counterfeit drugs often mimics authentic products but may lack proper labelling.
Distribution channels	Counterfeit drugs may infiltrate legitimate supply chains or be sold through illicit channels.
Appearance	Counterfeit drugs may infiltrate legitimate supply chains or be sold through illicit channels.
Detection Methods	Counterfeit drugs can be challenging to detect without specialized equipment or expertise.

B. Substandard Drugs:

Substandard medications, unlike counterfeits, are authentic drugs failing to meet quality standards due to production, storage, or delivery flaws [19]. These impurities or inadequate active ingredients can compromise safety and effectiveness, posing significant health risks, especially in life-saving drugs like antibiotics, antimalarials, and antiretrovirals, leading to treatment failures and drug resistance [20].

C. Falsified Drugs:

Falsified medications, distinct from counterfeit drugs, involve deliberate deceit in their creation, distribution, or labelling. They may be real drugs repackaged with misleading information or entirely fraudulent products [21]. These pose serious risks to patient safety, eroding trust in healthcare and regulatory systems, and are often linked to criminal activities [22]

ISSN No:-2456-2165 https://doi.org/10.38124/ijisrt/25may078

Table2 Potential limits for counterfeit substandard and falsified drugs

Type	Potential limits	Limit ranges
Counterfeit drugs	Incorrect dosage, contaminants, lack of active ingredient poor quality control, wrong ingredients	Varies depending or substance and manufacturing process
Substandard drugs	Below standard quality, poor manufacturing practices	E.g. uniformity of dosage: +_10%
Falsified drugs	Fraudulent labelling, fake packaging, no active ingredient	E.g.: missing active ingredient or incorrect dosage form

III. ANALYTICAL TECHNIQUES FOR DETECTION

A. Spectroscopic Techniques:

➢ *UV-Visible Spectroscopy:*

UV-Visible spectroscopy is a cost-effective, quick, and easy analytical method that is frequently employed in pharmaceutical analysis [23]. It entails measuring how much visible and ultraviolet light a sample's molecules absorb. UV-Visible spectroscopy is an essential tool for identifying possible adulterants or contaminants as well as evaluating the concentration and purity of active pharmaceutical ingredients (APIs) in the context of detecting counterfeit pharmaceuticals [24]. Pharmaceutical formulations can be analysed both qualitatively and quantitatively because each ingredient has a distinct absorption spectrum. Drugs containing chromophores, which absorb light in the UV or visible portions of the electromagnetic spectrum, benefit greatly from UV-visible spectroscopy. It might be less effective, therefore, in identifying minute amounts of contaminants or differentiating between closely related substances with comparable absorption spectra [25].

➢ *Procedure steps:*

- Sample Prep: Grind, weigh 10 mg.
- Solution Prep: Dissolve, adjust concentration.
- Spectro Setup: Turn on, set range, calibrate.
- Baseline Correction: Blank, record absorbance.
- Measurement: Transfer, set zero, measure.
- Data Analysis: Compare, analyse patterns.
- Validation: Repeat, compare with standards.
- Interpretation: Analyse bands, draw conclusions.
- Reporting: Document, present clearly.
- Disposal: Dispose properly [26].
- Procedure for linagliptin counterfeit detection by uv- vis spectroscopy:

Linagliptin concentration was measured using UV spectrophotometry between 200 and 400 nm, with a peak at 290 nm. A stock solution (20 µg/ml) was made by dissolving 100 mg of linagliptin in 0.1N HCl in a 100 ml flask and then adding methanol and water to the mark. Working standards were prepared in 10 ml flasks. Absorbance at 290 nm was measured using distilled water as a blank. A calibration curve was created by plotting concentration (µg/ml) against absorbance in Excel, using the formula y = mx + c to check linearity. The curve provided the coefficient of determination (r^2), slope (m), and intercept [27].

Calibration curves were made by pipetting 1 to 8 ml of the working solution into 10 ml flasks and diluting to 10 ml with water, resulting in concentrations from 2 to 16 µg/ml. The UV method was validated by checking accuracy, precision, linearity, detection limit, quantitation limit, and robustness. Linearity was tested with concentrations from 6 to 14 µg/ml. The analytical range was defined by the calibration curve limits. Precision was tested by measuring 8 µg/ml samples multiple times in one day (intraday) and on different days (inter-day), with repeatability reported as relative standard deviation (RSD). Accuracy was tested by adding known amounts of linagliptin (60%, 100%, 140%) to the samples. Detection and quantitation limits were calculated using the relative standard deviation (σ) and the slope (S) of the calibration curve [28].

➢ *Infrared Spectroscopy:*

Based on a chemical compound's molecular vibrations, infrared (IR) spectroscopy is a potent analytical method for identifying and characterizing it [29]. Molecules absorb infrared light at particular frequencies that match the vibrational modes of their chemical bonds in infrared spectroscopy. This absorption results in a distinctive infrared spectrum that can be utilized to detect possible impurities or adulterants, identify functional groups, and evaluate the purity of medications. Because infrared spectroscopy is non-destructive, it can be used on materials that are solid, liquid, or gaseous with little sample preparation. It is especially useful for examining polymorphic forms of APIs, complicated mixes, and minute structural variations between different molecules. Nevertheless, examining materials with overlapping absorption bands or extremely fluorescent backgrounds may provide challenges for IR spectroscopy [30].

➢ *Procedure Steps:*

- Sample Preparation: Grind and weigh samples accurately.
- KBr Pellet Preparation: Grind KBr with sample, press into pellets.
- IR Spectrophotometer Setup: Warm up, select technique, set resolution.
- Baseline Correction: Record baseline spectrum.
- Measurement: Transfer samples, align properly, record spectra.
- Data Analysis: Identify characteristic patterns, compare spectra.
- Validation: Repeat measurements, compare with standards.
- Interpretation: Draw conclusions from spectral data.

ISSN No:-2456-2165 https://doi.org/10.38124/ijisrt/25may078

- Reporting: Document procedures, results, and conclusions.
- Disposal: Dispose of materials properly [31]. Procedure for herbal products by infrared spectroscopy:

Developing an infrared (IR) method for analysing herbal products involves several steps. First, the sample is finely ground and homogenized. Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FT-IR) is used in the mid-infrared (Mid-IR) region with techniques like attenuated total reflectance (ATR) or transmission mode. Parameters are adjusted for better signal quality. Data analysis identifies characteristic peaks of herbal components, often using Principal Component Analysis (PCA). Optimization includes testing different preparation methods and adjusting instrument settings for better sensitivity and specificity. Calibration and validation ensure accuracy by comparing results to reference standards. Specificity testing confirms the method can distinguish between different herbal species. Robustness and transferability assessments check reliability under various conditions or instruments. Detailed documentation ensures transparency and reproducibility [32].

➢ *Raman Spectroscopy:*

Raman spectroscopy is a flexible method used to identify and understand medicines. It works by analysing how molecules scatter light. This technique helps determine the crystalline structure, molecular composition, and chemical properties of pharmaceuticals [33]. Raman spectroscopy can be done on-site or in real-time with minimal sample preparation, and it doesn't damage the sample. It's especially useful for studying solid medicines, detecting changes in their structure, and finding tiny amounts of impurities [34]. However, it may not work well for materials with strong fluorescence or low scattering efficiency, in which case alternative methods are needed [35].

➢ *Procedure steps:*

- Get Samples: Collect paracetamol from various places.
- Prepare Samples: Crush tablets or mix powders, put on slides.
- Set Up Machine: Make sure the Raman machine is ready.
- Take Base Reading: Measure pure paracetamol for comparison.
- Get Data: Shine laser, record light from samples.
- Analyse Data: Look for differences in peaks.
- Use Stats: Use math to see if samples are different.
- Check Results: Test against known samples.
- Write Report: Write down what you find.
- Keep Machine Good: Make sure the machine works well [36].

Using Raman Spectroscopy to Combat Counterfeit Drugs During the COVID-19 Pandemic Raman spectroscopy is a valuable tool for assessing medicine quality and identifying counterfeit drugs, particularly during the COVID-19 crisis. It provides a unique chemical signature for each substance, aiding in authentication. With the pandemic prompting panic buying, there's been a surge in substandard and fake COVID-19 products on online platforms, posing health risks. These include inferior hand sanitizers, masks, and fake medications like Chloroquine Phosphate. Initiatives like Operation Pangea aim to curb this counterfeit drug trade. Handheld Raman spectrophotometers offer a solution for on-the-spot detection without sample pre-treatment. They can analyse drugs through packaging, making them convenient and non-destructive. Studies confirm their efficacy in detecting counterfeits across various products. However, challenges arise with low-dosage products due to limited active ingredient content. Overall, Raman spectroscopy, especially in handheld form, holds promise in combating counterfeit drugs during the pandemic, protecting public health [37].

B. Chromatographic Techniques:

➢ *High Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC):*

A potent analytical method for the separation, identification, and quantification of chemical components in difficult mixtures is high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC). In high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC), a mobile phase, or solvent, is pumped through a column filled with stationary phase after the sample is introduced. varying sample components elute from the column at varying rates as a result of their varied interactions with the stationary phase [38]. The analytes' and the stationary phase's polarity, sizes, and chemical interactions provide the basis for this separation. Pharmaceutical analysis frequently uses HPLC to measure drug concentrations in biological samples, detect contaminants, and ensure the integrity of prescription formulations. It is appropriate since it has great resolution, sensitivity, and repeatability [39].

➢ *Procedure steps:*

- *Sample Collection:*

Obtain suspected counterfeit drug samples from various sources.

- *HPLC Setup:*

✓ Shimadzu components:
✓ SPD-10A VP UV-Vi's detector
✓ CBM-10AW VP communications bus module
✓ Two LC-10AT VP liquid chromatograph pumps
✓ DGU-14A degasser module
✓ Column: Kromasil 100x4.6 mm C18

- *Solvents:*

✓ Methanol (HPLC-grade) from Sigma-Aldrich
✓ 20 mM monosodium phosphate buffer (pH 4.4):
✓ Prepared from Milli-Q distilled H_2O
✓ Vacuum-filtered (0.5-micron nylon filter)

- *Column Washing:*

✓ Vacuum-filtered Milli-Q distilled H_2O
✓ Prepared buffer solution (pH 4.4):
✓ Dissolved Fisher Scientific sodium phosphate monobasic
✓ Adjusted pH with 1 M HCl and NaOH solutions

ISSN No:-2456-2165 https://doi.org/10.38124/ijisrt/25may078

- *Standard Preparation:*

✓ Standard amoxicillin from Sigma-Aldrich
✓ Weigh 2.0 mg amoxicillin standard
✓ Dissolve in 2.0 mL HPLC-grade H_2O
✓ Serial dilution (1:2 ratio) to achieve concentrations:
✓ mg/mL, 0.5 mg/mL, 0.25 mg/mL, 0.125 mg/mL,
✓ 0.0625 mg/mL, 0.03125 mg/mL
✓ Filter each solution (0.2-µm Pall Acro disc syringe filter)

- *Sample Preparation:*

✓ Suspect samples from University of Notre Dame DPAL
✓ Weigh 1.0 mg of suspect sample
✓ Dissolve in 2 mL HPLC-grade H_2O
✓ Heat, centrifuge, filter

- *Analysis:*

✓ Inject 20 all sample using Hamilton 50-µL HPLC injection syringe
✓ Compare chromatogram of sample with standard
✓ Peaks should occur at similar retention times
✓ Same number of peaks indicates consistency Analysis [40]

➢ *Gas Chromatography (GC):*

A chromatographic method for separating and analysing volatile and semi-volatile substances is gas chromatography (GC). In GC, a column filled with a stationary phase—usually a coated capillary column—is injected with the vaporized sample [41]. Transporting the sample through the column is done with a carrier gas, often nitrogen or helium. Sample constituents partition between the mobile and stationary phases as a result of interactions with the stationary phase and the carrier gas [42]. The analytes' variations in molecular weight, polarity, and volatility serve as the basis for this separation. For the purpose of identifying volatile contaminants, leftover solvents, and degradation products in medication formulations, GC is frequently utilized in pharmaceutical analysis. It provides quick analytical times, excellent sensitivity, and resolution, making it [43].

➢ *Procedure steps:*

- Sample Collection: Obtain diverse counterfeit diazepam samples.
- Sample Preparation: Crush tablets or mix liquid samples; dissolve if necessary.
- Standard Preparation: Prepare authentic diazepam standards.
- GC Instrument Setup: Configure instrument and install column.
- Column Conditioning: Condition to remove contaminants.
- Chromatographic Conditions: Optimize separation conditions.
- System Calibration: Calibrate with standard solutions.
- Sample Injection: Inject samples and standards.
- Data Analysis: Quantify concentration and compare peaks.
- Validation: Validate method according to guidelines.
- Reporting: Document analysis results and recommendations.
- Maintenance: Regularly maintain and calibrate GC system [44]

➢ *Thin Layer Chromatography (TLC):*

Thin layer chromatography (TLC) is an easy and cheap method to examine chemicals [45]. A glass or plastic plate coated with a thin layer of alumina or silica gel holds the sample. This plate is placed in a chamber with a solvent mix [46]. The solvent moves through the plate, separating the sample components based on their affinity for the solvent and the plate [47]. TLC is commonly used in pharmaceuticals to identify components, detect impurities or degradation products, and screen medication formulations early on. It's flexible and requires minimal sample preparation [48].

➢ *Procedure steps:*

- Sample Collection: Gather suspected counterfeit aspirin samples from different sources.
- Sample Preparation: Crush tablets or mix liquid samples. Weigh or measure for analysis; dissolve if necessary.
- Standard Preparation: Create authentic aspirin standards in the same solvent as the samples.
- TLC Plate Preparation: Choose a suitable TLC plate; mark an origin line; apply samples and standards; let it dry.
- Developing the TLC Plate: Put the plate in a chamber with a mobile phase; develop until the desired distance is reached.
- Visualization: Air dry the plate; observe spots; identify aspirin and impurities.
- Data Analysis: Measure Rf values; compare them with authentic standards.
- Validation: Verify the method and detection process [49].
- Reporting: Document results and generate a detailed report. Maintenance and Quality Control: Regularly inspect and replace TLC plates; implement quality control measures [50]

➢ *Antibiotics Determination by Thin Layer Chromatography:*

The study employed conventional TLC plates and smartphones for detection, offering simplicity, affordability, speed, and portability. It effectively detected drug adulteration without sophisticated instruments. By comparing spots on TLC plates, Rf values (identity) and intensities (drug content) were analysed to ensure therapeutic doses. Ofloxacin, ornidazole, and acetaminophen spots were visualized with I2 after running with the mobile phase n-butanol: methanol: ammonia, enabling rapid adulteration detection. Ofloxacin and acetaminophen appeared as brown spots, while ornidazole appeared as a light brown spot. Selective visualization of ornidazole was achieved with acidified KMnO4, producing a light brown colour. Calculated Rf values aided in qualitative analysis, such as detecting acetaminophen adulteration. Five concentrations of ofloxacin were spotted for quantitative analysis, and spot luminance was determined using freely available software. This method highlights TLC's advantages in visualization, adulterant

ISSN No:-2456-2165 https://doi.org/10.38124/ijisrt/25may078

detection, and quantitative analysis, ensuring reliable pharmaceutical quality control [51].

C. Mass Spectrometry Techniques:

➢ *Liquid Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry (LC-MS):*

Liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry (LC-MS) is essential in pharmaceutical analysis for separating, identifying, and quantifying components in mixtures [52]. It's crucial for various tasks like metabolite profiling and impurity identification due to its sensitivity and specificity. LC separates components using a column, and then mass spectrometry identifies them based on their mass-to-charge ratio. Different techniques like APCI or ESI enhance efficiency. It's widely used in pharmaceutical labs for quality assurance and drug development [53].

➢ *For Analysing Suspected Counterfeit Sildenafil:*

- Collect samples from different sources.
- Prepare samples by crushing tablets or mixing liquids.
- Prepare authentic sildenafil standards.
- Set up the LC-MS instrument and select a column.
- Choose separation conditions.
- Calibrate the system with standards and blanks.
- Inject samples using an autosampler.
- Analyse data to quantify sildenafil and confirm its identity.
- Validate the method according to guidelines.
- Document results comprehensively.
- Regularly maintain and calibrate the LC-MS system and implement quality control measures [54].

➢ *Gas Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry (GC-MS):*

Gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS) separates volatile substances. After vaporization, the sample passes through a gas chromatograph for separation, then to a mass spectrometer for examination. It's commonly used in pharmaceutical labs to detect contaminants and solvents due to its sensitivity and repeatability. It can combine with various ionization methods [55].

➢ *Procedure steps:*

- Obtain suspected counterfeit amphetamine samples.
- Crush solid or mix liquid samples; measure for analysis.
- Create standard solutions of real amphetamine.
- Set up the GC-MS instrument.
- Choose conditions for separating amphetamine.
- Calibrate and stabilize the system.
- Inject samples and record results.
- Compare sample peaks with standards.
- Test method accuracy and reliability.
- Document and summarize findings.
- Regularly calibrate and monitor instrument performance [56].

IV. FACTORS INFLUENCING METHOD SELECTION

A. Sensitivity:

Sensitivity in analytical methods means being able to detect and measure the target substance at low levels. In pharmaceutical analysis, it's crucial for finding contaminants and impurities in medications [57]. High sensitivity helps identify even tiny amounts of substances that could affect safety or effectiveness [58]. Factors like detection limit, instrument settings, and sample preparation affect sensitivity. It's important to choose methods carefully to meet detection limits and performance needs [59].

B. Specificity:

Specificity in analytical methods means being able to distinguish the target substance from others in the sample [60]. In pharmaceutical analysis, it's vital for accurately identifying and measuring drug substances in complex mixtures [61]. High specificity ensures precise results, reducing the chance of errors. Factors include potential interferences and sample preparation techniques [62].

C. Speed of Analysis:

The time taken to complete an analytical process, from sample prep to data interpretation, is known as analysis speed [63]. Fast analysis is favoured in pharmaceuticals for quality control and decision-making [64]. Factors like procedure complexity and instrumentation affect speed. Balancing speed with factors like sensitivity and cost ensures method suitability [65].

D. Cost:

Cost plays a crucial role in method selection, especially in pharmaceutical analysis [66]. It includes instrumentation, consumables, maintenance, and training expenses. Indirect costs like sample prep and data analysis also matter [67]. Evaluating total ownership cost and prioritizing cost-effective methods meeting sensitivity, specificity, and speed needs optimize resource use and ROI [68].

E. Accessibility of Equipment and Expertise:

Accessibility in pharmaceutical analysis refers to having necessary equipment, facilities, and trained staff for method implementation [69]. Access to specialized instruments and skilled personnel is vital for complex techniques and regulatory compliance [70]. Factors like equipment availability, infrastructure, and personnel training influence accessibility. Considering this ensures successful method application and maintenance [71].

F. Sample Matrix:

The sample matrix in pharmaceutical analysis includes compounds that may interfere with analysis [72]. It affects sample preparation, chromatographic separation, and detection, impacting analytical procedures' effectiveness [73]. Interfering compounds can compromise precision and specificity. Analytical techniques must address matrix effects to ensure accurate results, assessed in method validation studies [74].

ISSN No:-2456-2165 https://doi.org/10.38124/ijisrt/25may078

V. FUTURE PERSPECTIVES

A. Emerging Technologies for Counterfeit Detection:

New technologies like nanotechnology, blockchain, molecular imprinting, and AI offer promising solutions against counterfeit drugs [75]. Nanotechnology introduces nano-tags and authentication systems, while blockchain ensures transparent drug tracking [76]. Molecular imprinting produces accurate identification with MIPs [77]. AI analyses data for counterfeit drug patterns, enhancing detection and prevention efforts, bolstering patient safety and supply chain trust [78].

B. Integration of Multiple Techniques for Improved Detection:

Mixing different analytical methods improves how we spot fake products [79]. By blending techniques like spectroscopy, chromatography, mass spectrometry, and imaging, we make detection more sensitive, specific, and reliable. Pairing elemental analysis with imaging techniques helps us see counterfeits at a tiny scale, revealing their composition and structure [80]. Mixing methods also helps us check data more accurately, reducing the chance of mistakes [81]. Using a mix of techniques helps stakeholders make their detection methods stronger and more effective. It's like putting together puzzle pieces to get a clearer picture of what's real and what's fake [82].

C. Regulatory Considerations and Global Collaborations:

To combat counterfeit products, global coordination and cooperation among regulatory groups are crucial [83]. Organizations like IMPACT and PSI facilitate collaboration between countries, law enforcement, and drug manufacturers [84]. By sharing information and enforcing uniform standards, they enhance detection and ensure the safety of the global drug supply. Encouraging further international teamwork and regulations is essential for success [85].

VI. CONCLUSION

A. Summary of Key Points:

Throughout this discourse, we've explored the multifaceted landscape of counterfeit pharmaceuticals and the analytical methods employed for their detection. We've examined the definition and types of counterfeit drugs, the overview of analytical techniques, factors influencing method selection, challenges in detection, case studies showcasing successful detection, future perspectives, and regulatory considerations.

B. Importance of Ongoing Efforts in Counterfeit Drug Detection:

Preserving the integrity of the pharmaceutical supply chain, ensuring patient safety, and protecting public health all depend critically on the continuous efforts to detect counterfeit drugs. Customers who purchase counterfeit medications run the major danger of receiving subpar care, negative side effects, treatment failure, and even death. Furthermore, the public's confidence in pharmaceutical companies, healthcare institutions, and regulatory agencies is weakened by counterfeit pharmaceuticals, which lowers confidence and medication compliance. Thus, to stop the spread of fake medications and safeguard public health, constant watchfulness, creativity, and cooperation are crucial.

C. Call to Action for Stakeholders in the Pharmaceutical Industry and Regulatory Bodies:

It's crucial for regulatory agencies and pharmaceutical companies to combat counterfeit medications by prioritizing supply chain security and investing in authentication technologies. Strengthening regulatory frameworks and collaboration is essential. Consumers and healthcare professionals must remain vigilant in reporting suspected counterfeit drugs to protect public health and ensure access to safe treatments.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors are thankful to Raghavendra Institute of Pharmaceutical Education and Research, Anantapur.

A. Conflict of interest

The authors do not have any conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

[1]. Al-Worafi YM. Counterfeit and substandard medications. Drug safety in developing countries: Elsevier; 2020. p. 119-26.
[2]. Marucheck A, Greis N, Mena C, Cai L. Product safety and security in the global supply chain: Issues, challenges and research opportunities. Journal of operations management. 2011;29(7-8):707-20.
[3]. Glass BD. Counterfeit drugs and medical devices in developing countries. Research and Reports in Tropical Medicine. 2014:11-22.
[4]. Buckley GJ, Gusting LO. Countering the problem of falsified and substandard drugs. 2013.
[5]. Johnston A, Holt DW. Substandard drugs: a potential crisis for public health. British journal of clinical pharmacology. 2014;78(2):218-43.
[6]. Organization WH. WHO Global Surveillance and Monitoring System for substandard and falsified medical products: World Health Organization; 2017.
[7]. Marta TRP. Raman spectroscopy, a non-invasive measurement technique for the detection of counterfeit medicines 2016.
[8]. Lima Fredd. Resilience elements to combat counterfeit medicines in supply chain. 2018.
[9]. Binns CW, Lee MK, Lee AH. Problems and prospects: public health regulation of dietary supplements. Annual review of public health. 2018; 39:403-20.
[10]. White N, Pongtavornpinyo W. The de novo selection of drug–resistant malaria parasites. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London Series B: Biological Sciences. 2003;270(1514):545-54.
[11]. Mesheal A-A, bin Khalifah YA. Metepa bani achily, Mashael Oudh Dawe Alotaibi, Abdul-Wali Aloni, et al. (2023) Detection Methods of Counterfeit Drugs: A Systemic Review. Journal of Pharmaceutical Research & Reports SRC/JPRSR-162 DOI: Doi org/1047363/JPRSR/2023 (4).144:2-9.

ISSN No:-2456-2165 https://doi.org/10.38124/ijisrt/25may078

[12]. Davison M. Pharmaceutical anti-counterfeiting: combating the real danger from fake drugs: John Wiley & Sons; 2011.
[13]. Aday LA. At risk in America: The health and health care needs of vulnerable populations in the United States: John Wiley & Sons; 2002.
[14]. Gupta P, Singhal K, Pandey A. Counterfeit (fake) drugs & new technologies to identify it in India. International Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences and Research. 2012;3(11):4057.
[15]. Kennedy JP. Supply Chain Risks of Illicit Trade in Counterfeit Pharmaceuticals. 2023.
[16]. Stevenson M, Busby J. An exploratory analysis of counterfeiting strategies: Towards counterfeit-resilient supply chains. International Journal of Operations & Production Management. 2015;35(1):110-44.
[17]. Elkins KM. Trends in Counterfeit Drugs: CRC Press; 2023.
[18]. Davies DS, Grant J, Catchpole M. The drugs don't work: a global threat: Penguin UK; 2013.
[19]. Holzgrabe U, Malet-Martino M. Analytical challenges in drug counterfeiting and falsification—The NMR approach. Journal of pharmaceutical and biomedical analysis. 2011;55(4):679-87.
[20]. Sardella M, Belcher G, Lungu C, Agnone T, Camisa M, Stenver DI, et al. Monitoring the manufacturing and quality of medicines: a fundamental task of pharmacovigilance. Therapeutic Advances in Drug Safety. 2021; 12:20420986211038436.
[21]. Deisingh AK. Pharmaceutical counterfeiting. Analyst. 2005;130(3):271-9.
[22]. Kumar AP, Kumar D. Determination of pharmaceuticals by UV-visible spectrophotometry. Current Pharmaceutical Analysis. 2021;17(9):1156-70.
[23]. Gitelson AA, Merz yak MN, Zhivkov OB. Optical properties and non-destructive estimation of anthocyanin content in plant leaves¶. Photochemistry and photobiology. 2001;74(1):38-45.
[24]. Yu C, Cohen L. Tissue sample preparation--not the same old grind: extracting analytes from biological tissue often presents serious challenges for analytical chemists. This month's" Sample Prep Perspectives" discusses and compares conventional extraction technologies and novel approaches to tissue sample preparation. LC-GC North America. 2003;21(11):1038-43.
[25]. Kamal AH, Hammad SF, Salim MM, Elkhart MM, Marie AA. Simultaneous estimation of two anti-diabetic drugs; Linagliptin and empagliflozin in their tablet by chemometric spectrophotometry. Spectrochemical Acta Part A: Molecular and Biomolecular Spectroscopy. 2024; 305:123556.
[26]. Thode J, Thode T-DJ, Thode M. How to determine the LOD using the calibration curve. 2019.
[27]. Schulz H, Baranski M. Identification and quantification of valuable plant substances by IR and Raman spectroscopy. Vibrational Spectroscopy. 2007;43(1):13-25.
[28]. Bhargava R. Infrared spectroscopic imaging: the next generation. Applied spectroscopy. 2012;66(10):1091-120.
[29]. Ordoñez J, Gago EJ, Girard A. Processes and technologies for the recycling and recovery of spent lithium-ion batteries. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews. 2016; 60:195-205.
[30]. Cozzolino D. Near infrared spectroscopy in natural products analysis. Planta medica. 2009;75(07):746-56.
[31]. Yuliana ND, Khatib A, Choi YH, Verboort R. Metabolomics for bioactivity assessment of natural products. Phytotherapy Research. 2011;25(2):157-69.
[32]. Kumari R, Kotecha M. A review on the Standardization of herbal medicines. International journal of pharma sciences and research. 2016;7(2):97-106.
[33]. Das RS, Agrawal Y. Raman spectroscopy: Recent advancements, techniques and applications. Vibrational spectroscopy. 2011;57(2):163-76.
[34]. Butler HJ, Ashton L, Bird B, Cinque G, Curtis K, Dorney J, et al. Using Raman spectroscopy to characterize biological materials. Nature protocols. 2016;11(4):664-87.
[35]. Thong MY, Manrique YJ, Steadman KJ. Drug loss while crushing tablets: Comparison of 24 tablet crushing devices. Plops one. 2018;13(3): e0193683.
[36]. Yu Y, Bu F, Zhou H, Wang Y, Cui J, Wang X, et al. Biosafety materials: an emerging new research direction of materials science from the COVID-19 outbreak. Materials Chemistry Frontiers. 2020;4(7):1930-53.
[37]. Lough WJ, Wainer IW. High performance liquid chromatography: fundamental principles and practice: croc press; 1995.
[38]. McPolin O. An introduction to HPLC for pharmaceutical analysis: Lulu. com; 2009.
[39]. Kupiec T. Quality-control analytical methods: High-performance liquid chromatography. International journal of pharmaceutical compounding. 2004; 8:223-7.
[40]. Makey DM, Diehl RC, Xin Y, Murray BE, Stoll DR, Ruotolo BT, et al. High-Throughput Liquid Chromatographic Analysis Using a Segmented Flow Injector with a 1 s Cycle Time. Analytical Chemistry. 2023;95(46):17028-36.
[41]. Turner DC, Schäfer M, Lancaster S, Jan Mohamed I, Gachanja A, Creasey J. Gas Chromatography–Mass Spectrometry: How Do I Get the Best Results? Royal Society of Chemistry; 2019.
[42]. Rotzsche H. Stationary phases in gas chromatography: Elsevier; 1991.
[43]. Han Y, Chen Y, Feng J, Liu J, Ma S, Chen X. One-pot synthesis of fluorescent silicon nanoparticles for sensitive and selective determination of 2, 4, 6-trinitrophenol in aqueous solution. Analytical chemistry. 2017;89(5):3001-8.
[44]. Mobley RK. Maintenance fundamentals: Elsevier; 2011.
[45]. Sarker SD, Nahar L. Hyphenated techniques and their applications in natural products analysis. Natural Products Isolation. 2012:301-40.

ISSN No:-2456-2165 https://doi.org/10.38124/ijisrt/25may078

[46]. Bele AA, Khale A. An overview on thin layer chromatography. International journal of pharmaceutical sciences and research. 2011;2(2):256.
[47]. Sherma J. Thin layer chromatography in environmental analysis. Reviews in Analytical Chemistry. 1995;14(2):75-142.
[48]. Fogwill MO, Thoride KB. Chromatography using a water stationary phase and a carbon dioxide mobile phase. Analytical chemistry. 2010;82(24):10060-7.
[49]. Alothman ZA, Rahman N, Siddiqui MR. Review on pharmaceutical impurities, stability studies and degradation products: an analytical approach. Reviews in Advanced Sciences and Engineering. 2013;2(2):155-66.
[50]. Rahman B. Phytochemical investigation of Citrullus landaus (Watermelon) rind: East West University; 2013.
[51]. Amirvaresi A, Rashidi M, Kamyar M, Amirah Madi M, Daraa B, Para star H. Combining multivariate image analysis with high-performance thin-layer chromatography for development of a reliable tool for saffron authentication and adulteration detection. Journal of Chromatography A. 2020; 1628:461461.
[52]. López-Fernández O, Domínguez R, Peteiro M, Mun Ekata PE, Rochette G, Lorenzo JM. Determination of polyphenols using liquid chromatography–tandem mass spectrometry technique (LC–MS/MS): A review. Antioxidants. 2020;9(6):479.
[53]. Choi BK, Hercules DM, Gusev AI. Effect of liquid chromatography separation of complex matrices on liquid chromatography–tandem mass spectrometry signal suppression. Journal of Chromatography A. 2001;907(1-2):337-42.
[54]. Banerjee S, Mazumdar S. Electrospray ionization mass spectrometry: a technique to access the information beyond the molecular weight of the analyte. International journal of analytical chemistry. 2012;2012.
[55]. Beccaria M, Kabouter D. Current developments in LC-MS for pharmaceutical analysis. Analyst. 2020;145(4):1129-57.
[56]. Atheneite MA, Barker J, Shah I. Roadside drug testing approaches. Molecules. 2021 May 29;26(11):3291.
[57]. Riley CM, Rozanski TW. Development and validation of analytical methods. Elsevier; 1996 May 29.
[58]. Habib MK. Controlled biological and biomimetic systems for landmine detection. Biosensors and Bioelectronics. 2007 Aug 30;23(1):1-8.
[59]. Cech NB, Enke CG. Practical implications of some recent studies in electrospray ionization fundamentals. Mass spectrometry reviews. 2001;20(6):362-87.
[60]. Riley CM, Rozanski TW. Development and validation of analytical methods. Elsevier; 1996 May 29.
[61]. Sumrall HR, Evans-Brown M, McVeigh J. Social, policy, and public health perspectives on new psychoactive substances. Drug testing and analysis. 2011 Jul;3(7-8):515-23.
[62]. Findlay JW, Smith WC, Lee JW, Nordblom GD, Das I, DeSilva BS, Khan MN, Bowsher RR. Validation of immunoassays for bioanalysis: a pharmaceutical industry perspective. Journal of pharmaceutical and biomedical analysis. 2000 Jan 1;21(6):1249-73.
[63]. Fiehn O. Combining genomics, metabolome analysis, and biochemical modelling to understand metabolic networks. Comparative and functional genomics. 2001 Jun;2(3):155-68.
[64]. Schmoll HJ, Van Custom E, Stein AE, Valentini V, Grimeless B, Shusterman's K, Nordlinger B, Van de Velde CJ, Bal mana J, Regula J, Natural ID. ESMO Consensus Guidelines for management of patients with colon and rectal cancer. a personalized approach to clinical decision making. Annals of oncology. 2012 Oct 1;23(10):2479-516.
[65]. Li F, Vijaya Sankaran N, Shen A, Kiss R, Amanullah A. Cell culture processes for monoclonal antibody production. Imams 2010 Sep 1 (Vol. 2, No. 5, pp. 466-479). Taylor & Francis.
[66]. Siddiqui MR, AL Othman ZA, Rahman N. Analytical techniques in pharmaceutical analysis: A review. Arabian Journal of chemistry. 2017 Feb 1;10: S1409-21.
[67]. Briggs C, Guthrie D, Hyde K, Mackie I, Parker N, Popek M, Porter N, Stephens C. Guidelines for point-of-care testing: haematology. British journal of haematology. 2008 Sep;142(6):904-15.
[68]. Lo D, Cheng L, Govindaraju R, Ranganathan P, Keyakis C. Heracles: Improving resource efficiency at scale. InProceedings of the 42nd Annual International Symposium on Computer Architecture 2015 Jun 13 (pp. 450-462)
[69]. Anderson BO, Yip CH, Smith RA, Shyann R, Sener SF, Enio A, Carlson RW, Azavedo E, Harford J. Guideline implementation for breast healthcare in low-income and middle-income countries: Overview of the Breast Health Global Initiative Global Summit 2007. Cancer. 2008 Oct 15;113(S8):2221-43.
[70]. Altenburg T, Meyer-Stamer J. How to promote clusters: policy experiences from Latin America. World development. 1999 Sep 1;27(9):1693-713.
[71]. 71. Bingil's KA. Barriers to the successful integration of ICT in teaching and learning environments: A review of the literature. Eurasia Journal of Mathematics, science and technology education. 2009 Jan 22;5(3):235-45.
[72]. Van Eekhout A, Lankans K, Sarri S, Smulders I, Machete Y. Validation of bioanalytical LC–MS/MS assays: evaluation of matrix effects. Journal of Chromatography B. 2009 Aug 1;877(23):2198-207.
[73]. Nováková L, Vlčková H. A review of current trends and advances in modern bio-analytical methods: chromatography and sample preparation. Analytica chemical acta. 2009 Dec 10;656(1-2):8-35.
[74]. Peters FT, Drummer OH, Muss off F. Validation of new methods. Forensic science international. 2007 Jan 17;165(2-3):216-24.

ISSN No:-2456-2165 https://doi.org/10.38124/ijisrt/25may078

[75]. Tagged P, Tagged S, Bhattacharya T, Tagged P, Chopra H, Akter R, Kaushik D, Rahman MH. Blockchain and artificial intelligence technology in e-Health. Environmental Science and Pollution Research. 2021 Oct; 28:52810-31.
[76]. De la Varga Rodríguez M. Nanolux: a market analysis, pricing policy and regulatory affairs for an anti-counterfeit company based on nanotechnology.
[77]. Chen L, Wang X, Lu W, Wu X, Li J. Molecular imprinting: perspectives and applications. Chemical society reviews. 2016;45(8):2137-211.
[78]. Saeed G, Kohler JC, Cuomo RE, Mackey TK. A systematic review of digital technology and innovation and its potential to address anti-corruption, transparency, and accountability in the pharmaceutical supply chain. Expert opinion on drug safety. 2022 Aug 3;21(8):1061-88
[79]. Wang Z, Ren P, Wu Y, He Q. Recent advances in analytical techniques for the detection of adulteration and authenticity of bee products–A review. Food Additives & Contaminants: Part A. 2021 Apr 3;38(4):533-49.
[80]. Buchberger AR, Delaney K, Johnson J, Li L. Mass spectrometry imaging: a review of emerging advancements and future insights. Analytical chemistry. 2018 Jan 1;90(1):240.
[81]. Hopkins W, Marshall S, Batter ham A, Hanin J. Progressive statistics for studies in sports medicine and exercise science. Medicine+ Science in Sports+ Exercise. 2009 Jan 1;41(1):3.
[82]. Stufflebeam DL. The CIPP model for evaluation. In Evaluation models: Viewpoints on educational and human services evaluation 2000 Dec (pp. 279-317). Dordrecht: Springer Netherlands.
[83]. Mackey TK, Liang BA. Improving global health governance to combat counterfeit medicines: a proposal for a UNODC-WHO-Interpol trilateral mechanism. BMC medicine. 2013 Dec; 11:1-0.
[84]. Nayyar GM, Breman JG, Mackey TK, Clark JP, Hajdu M, Littrell M, Herrington JE. Falsified and substandard drugs: stopping the pandemic. The American journal of tropical medicine and hygiene. 2019 May;100(5):1058.
[85]. Wallace CA, Sperber WH, Mortimore SE. Food safety for the 21st century: Managing HACCP and food safety throughout the global supply chain. John Wiley & Sons; 2018 Aug 8.