

Fixed Point on Controlled Strong b-Multiplicative Metric Space

Manish Kumar¹

¹Department of Mathematics Government Degree College Nanauta, Saharanpur

Publication Date: 2025/03/19

Abstract: In [21] Dania Santina introduced a generalization of a metric space called controlled strong b-metric space (CSbMS) and proved a fixed point result in CSbMS. In [22], Tayyab Kamran explained the notion of b-multiplicative metric space and proved some fixed point theorems in b-multiplicative metric space. The purpose of this paper is to establish the concept of controlled strong b-multiplicative metric space (CSbMMS) and to prove a fixed point result on CSbMMS.

Keywords: b-Metric Space, Strong Metric Space, Multiplicative Metric Space, Controlled Strong B-Metric Space, Controlled Strong b-Multiplicative Metric Space. 2020 AMS Subject Classification: 47H10, 54H25

How to Cite: Manish Kumar (2025). Fixed Point on Controlled Strong b-Multiplicative Metric Space. *International Journal of Innovative Science and Research Technology*, 10(3), 327-330. <https://doi.org/10.38124/ijisrt/25mar551>

I. INTRODUCTION

The Banach contraction principle is the foundation of fixed point theory which declares that all contractive mappings on metric spaces always have some fixed points, that are unique. In this sequence many researchers generalized the concept of Banach and established different kind of contractions [1–5]. In this paper, we emphasize on a generalized metric structure called b-metric space (bMS) and its subsequent generalization. Bakhtin [6] and Czerwik [7] step ahead in the generalization of (MS) by introducing the notion b-metric space (bMS). In which altering the triangle inequality of a (MS) has been taken place. Infact, every metric space (MS) is a b-metric space (bMS) when the constant b of the triangle inequality is one. While the reverse of it is not implied. After that, many authors found many fixed-point results in b-metric spaces; [8–20]. As far as this paper is concern, our object in the current study, we refer to papers that contain some generalizations of b-metric spaces, such as a strong b-metric space (SbMS) ([25]). Subsequently, we generalized the concept of controlled strong b-metric space (CSbMS) and put the idea of controlled strong b-multiplicative metric space (CSbMMS) In the main results section, we prove the existence of the fixed point of self-mapping on a complete (CSbMMS) and its uniqueness.

II. PRELIMANARIES

➤ Definition 2.1 [22]

Let A be a set having at least one element and take a real no. $s \geq 1$. A function $\mu: A \times A \rightarrow [1, \infty)$ is said to be a b-multiplicative metric if the following axioms are satisfied:

- $(\mu 1) \mu(\alpha, \beta) > 1$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in A$ and $\mu(\alpha, \beta) = 1$ if and only if $\alpha = \beta$;

- $(\mu 2) \mu(\alpha, \beta) = \mu(\beta, \alpha)$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in A$;
- $(\mu 3) \mu(\alpha, z) \leq \mu(\alpha, \beta)^s \cdot \mu(\beta, \eta)^s$ for all $\alpha, \beta, \eta \in A$.

Then (A, μ, s) is said to be a b-multiplicative metric space.

➤ Definition 2.2 [21]

Given a set H having at least one element and $t \geq 1$. The function $\mu: H \times H \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is called a strong b-metric space if

- $\mu(\alpha, \beta) = 0$ if and only if $\alpha = \beta$;
- $\mu(\alpha, \beta) = \mu(\alpha, \beta)$;
- $\mu(\alpha, \beta) \leq \mu(\alpha, z) + t \mu(z, \beta)$, for all $\alpha, \beta, z \in H$. The pair (H, μ) is called an (SbMS).

➤ Definition 2.3 [21]

Let H be a set that contains at least one element and $\zeta: H \times H \rightarrow [1, \infty)$. The function $\mu: H \times H \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is called a (CSbMS) if

- $\mu(\alpha, \beta) = 0$ if and only if $\alpha = \beta$;
- $\mu(\alpha, \beta) = \mu(\alpha, \beta)$;
- $\mu(\alpha, \beta) \leq \mu(\alpha, z) + \zeta(\alpha, \beta) \mu(z, \beta)$, for all $\alpha, \beta, z \in H$.
- The pair (H, μ) is defined as a controlled strong b-metric space (CSbMS).
- Now we establish the idea of controlled strong b-multiplicative metric space (CSbMMS) as follows

➤ Definition 2.4

Let H be a set that contains at least one element and $\zeta: H \times H \rightarrow [1, \infty)$. The function $\mu: H \times H \rightarrow [1, \infty)$ is called a (CSbMMS) if

- $\mu(\alpha, \beta) = 1$ if and only if $\alpha = \beta$;

- $\mu(\alpha, \beta) = \mu(\beta, \alpha)$;
- $\mu(\alpha, \beta) \leq \mu(\alpha, z) \cdot \mu(z, \beta)^{\zeta(\alpha, \beta)}$, for all $\alpha, \beta, z \in H$.
- The pair (H, μ) is called a controlled strong b–multiplicative metric space (CSbMMS).
- Example 1. Let $H = [1, \infty)$ and define $\mu(\alpha, \beta) = 2^{\max\{|\alpha - \beta|, 2^{|\alpha - \beta| - 1}\}}$ for every $\alpha, \beta \in H$ and $\zeta(\alpha, \beta) = \alpha + \beta + 2$.

➤ Consider a sequence $\{\alpha_n\}$ in controlled strong b–metric space (CSbMMS) (H, μ) .

- $\{\alpha_n\}$ is called convergent to $\alpha \in H$, i.e. $\lim_n \alpha_n = \alpha$ if $\lim_n \mu(\alpha_n, \alpha) = 1$.
- $\{\alpha_n\}$ is defined as a Cauchy sequence in H if $\lim_{n, m} \mu(\alpha_n, \alpha_m) = 1$.
- CSbMMS (H, μ) is called complete if every Cauchy sequence in it is a convergent sequence.

➤ Definition 4. Consider (H, μ) to be a (CSbMMS) by a functions. Take $y \in H$ along with $\zeta > 0$.

- An open ball $B(y, \eta)$ is $B(y, \eta) = \{x \in H, \mu(y, x) < \eta\}$.
- (ii) The mapping $S : H \rightarrow H$ is called continuous at $x \in H$ if $\forall \eta > 0, \exists \delta > 0$, satisfying $S(B(y, \delta)) \subseteq B(Sy, \eta)$.

➤ For All Integers N, M with $N < M$, We Have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(\alpha_n, \alpha_m) &\leq \mu(\alpha_n, \alpha_{n+1}) \cdot \mu(\alpha_{n+1}, \alpha_m)^{\omega(\alpha_{n+1}, \alpha_m)} \\ &\leq \mu(\alpha_n, \alpha_{n+1}) \cdot \mu(\alpha_{n+1}, \alpha_{n+2})^{\omega(\alpha_{n+1}, \alpha_m)} \cdot \mu(\alpha_{n+2}, \alpha_m)^{\omega(\alpha_{n+1}, \alpha_m)\omega(\alpha_{n+2}, \alpha_m)} \\ &\leq \mu(\alpha_n, \alpha_{n+1}) \cdot \mu(\alpha_{n+1}, \alpha_{n+2})^{\omega(\alpha_{n+1}, \alpha_m)} \cdot \mu(\alpha_{n+2}, \alpha_{n+3})^{\omega(\alpha_{n+1}, \alpha_m)\omega(\alpha_{n+2}, \alpha_m)} \cdot \mu(\alpha_{n+3}, \alpha_m)^{\omega(\alpha_{n+1}, \alpha_m)\omega(\alpha_{n+2}, \alpha_m)\omega(\alpha_{n+3}, \alpha_m)} \\ &\leq \dots \\ &\leq \mu(\alpha_n, \alpha_{n+1}) \cdot \prod_{i=n+1}^{m-2} \mu(\alpha_i, \alpha_{i+1})^{\prod_{j=n+1}^i \omega(\alpha_j, \alpha_m)} \cdot \mu(\alpha_{m-1}, \alpha_m)^{\prod_{k=n+1}^{m-1} \omega(\alpha_k, \alpha_m)} \\ &\leq \mu(\alpha_n, \alpha_{n+1}) \cdot \prod_{i=n+1}^{m-2} \mu(\alpha_0, \alpha_1)^{t^i \prod_{j=n+1}^i \omega(\alpha_j, \alpha_m)} \cdot \mu(\alpha_{m-1}, \alpha_m)^{\prod_{k=n+1}^{m-1} \omega(\alpha_k, \alpha_m)} \\ &\leq \mu(\alpha_n, \alpha_{n+1}) \cdot \prod_{i=n+1}^{m-1} \mu(\alpha_0, \alpha_1)^{t^i \prod_{j=n+1}^i \omega(\alpha_j, \alpha_m)} \\ &\leq \mu(\alpha_n, \alpha_{n+1}) \cdot \prod_{i=0}^{m-1} \mu(\alpha_0, \alpha_1)^{t^i \prod_{j=0}^i \omega(\alpha_j, \alpha_m)} = \mu(\alpha_n, \alpha_{n+1}) \cdot P_m \end{aligned}$$

Using ratio test for product with term

$$\begin{aligned} a_i &= \mu(\alpha_0, \alpha_1)^{t^i \prod_{j=n+1}^i \omega(\alpha_j, \alpha_m)} \\ \frac{\log a_{i+1}}{\log a_i} &= \frac{\log \mu(\alpha_0, \alpha_1)^{t^{i+1} \prod_{j=0}^{i+1} \omega(\alpha_j, \alpha_m)}}{\log \mu(\alpha_0, \alpha_1)^{t^i \prod_{j=0}^i \omega(\alpha_j, \alpha_m)}} \\ &= \omega(\alpha_{i+1}, \alpha_m) t \\ &< \frac{1}{t} \quad t = 1. \end{aligned}$$

- Obviously, if S is continuous at a point y in the (CSbMMS) (H, μ) , then $y_n \rightarrow y$ implies that $Sy_n \rightarrow Sy$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

III. MAIN RESULTS

Presently, we are here ready to investigate a fixed point result parallel to the Banach contraction theorem in (CSbMMS).

➤ Theorem Let (H, μ) be a complete (CSbMMS) w.r.t. to the f mapping $\omega : H \times H \rightarrow [1, \infty)$. Suppose that $\Gamma : H \rightarrow H$ be a map satisfying $\mu(\Gamma\alpha, \Gamma\beta) \leq \mu(\alpha, \beta)^t$ for every $\alpha, \beta \in H$, where $t \in (0, 1)$.

- For $\alpha_0 \in H$, lets have $\alpha_n = \Gamma^n \alpha_0$.
- Suppose that $\omega(\alpha_{i+1}, \alpha_m) < 1/t$.
- Also, for all $\alpha \in H$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \omega(\alpha, \alpha_n)$ exists finitely.

➤ Then the Mapping Γ Has a Unique Fixed Point.

- Proof: In accordance with the hypothesis (1) of the theorem, take the sequence $\{\alpha_n = \Gamma^n \alpha_0\}$ in H . By the application of (1), we obtain $\mu(\alpha_n, \alpha_{n+1}) \leq \mu(\alpha_0, \alpha_1)^{t^n}$ or every n in N .

So that P_m is convergent and thus $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_m$ exists finitely. Also

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(\alpha_n, \alpha_{n+1}) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(\alpha_0, \alpha_1)^{t^n} = 1.$$

Consequently $\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} \mu(\alpha_n, \alpha_m) = 1$. Thus the sequence $\{\alpha_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence.

Since (H, μ) is complete, there exists some α in H such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(\alpha_n, \alpha) = 1$ $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n = \alpha$.

Now we claim that $\Gamma\alpha = \alpha$. For we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(\Gamma\alpha, \alpha) &\leq \mu(\Gamma\alpha, \alpha_{n+1}) \cdot \mu(\alpha_{n+1}, \alpha)^{\omega(\alpha_{n+1}, \alpha)} \\ &= \mu(\Gamma\alpha, \Gamma\alpha_n) \cdot \mu(\alpha_{n+1}, \alpha)^{\omega(\alpha_{n+1}, \alpha)} \\ &\leq \mu(\alpha, \alpha_n)^t \cdot \mu(\alpha_{n+1}, \alpha)^{\omega(\alpha_{n+1}, \alpha)} \rightarrow 1 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Which immediately implies that $\Gamma\alpha = \alpha$. Suppose there is an element β in H such that $\Gamma\beta = \beta$ and $\alpha \neq \beta$.

$$1 < \mu(\alpha, \beta) = \mu(\Gamma\alpha, \Gamma\beta) \leq \mu(\alpha, \beta)^t$$

which yields a contradiction. So $\alpha = \beta$. Hence the theorem proved.

IV. CONCLUSION

The notion of controlled strong b-metric space introduced in this paper provide a platform for the study of fixed point theory in a new generalized metric space. The fixed point theorem proved here in the main result of this paper made the foundation of fixed point finding strategies on the introduced generalized metric space parallel to the flood of research after the Banach fixed point theorem.

REFERENCES

- [1]. Shatanawi, W. On α -compatible mappings and common coupled coincidence point in cone metric spaces. ScienceDirect 2012, 25, 6.
- [2]. Shatanawi, W.; Rajić, V.C.; Radenović, S.; Al-Rawashdeh, A.; Mizoguchi-Takahashi, Type Theorems in Tvs-Cone Metric Spaces, Fixed Point Theory and Applications; Springer Open: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 2012.
- [3]. Al-Rawashdeh, A.; Hassen, A.; Abdelbasset, F.; Sehmi, S.; Shatanawi, W. On common fixed points for α – F-contractions and applications. J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl. 2016, 9, 3445–3458.
- [4]. Shatanawi, W.; Mustafa, Z.; Tahat, N. Some coincidence point theorems for nonlinear contraction in ordered metric spaces. Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2011, 2011, 1–15.
- [5]. Shatanawi, W. Some fixed point results for generalized ψ -weak contraction mappings in orbitally metric spaces. Chaos Solitons Fractals 2012, 45, 520–526.
- [6]. Bakhtin, I. The contraction mapping principle in almost metric spaces. Funct. Anal. 1989, 30, 26–37.
- [7]. Czerwik, S. Contraction mappings in b-metric spaces. Acta Math. Inform. Univ. Ostra. 1993, 1, 5–11.
- [8]. Abdeljawad, T.; Abodayeh, K.; Mlaiki, N. On fixed point generalizations to partial b-metric spaces. J. Comput. Anal. Appl. 2015, 19, 883–891.
- [9]. Afshari, H.; Atapour, M.; Aydi, H. Generalized α – ψ -Geraghty multivalued mappings on b-metric spaces endowed with a graph. Twms J. Appl. Eng. Math. 2017, 7, 248–260.
- [10]. Alharbi, N.; Aydi, H.; Felhi, A.; Ozel, C.; Sahmim, S. α -contractive mappings on rectangular b-metric spaces and an application to integral equations. J. Math. Anal. 2018, 9, 47–60.
- [11]. Aydi, H.; Karapinar, E.; Bota, M.; Mitrović, S. A fixed point theorem for set-valued quasi-contractions in b-metric spaces. Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2012, 2012, 88.
- [12]. Aydi, H.; Bota, M.; Karapinar, E.; Moradi, S. A common fixed point for weak ϕ -contractions on b-metric spaces. Fixed Point Theory 2012, 13, 337–346.
- [13]. Aydi, H.; Banković, R.; Mitrović, I.; Nazam, M. Nemytzki-Edelstein-Meir-Keeler type results in b-metric spaces. Discret. Dyn. Nat. Soc. 2018, 2018, 4745764.
- [14]. Karapinar, E.; Czerwik, S.; Aydi, H. (α, ψ) -Meir-Keeler contraction mappings in generalized b-metric spaces. J. Funct. Spaces 2018, 2018, 3264620.
- [15]. Mlaiki, N.; Mukheimer, A.; Rohen, Y.; Souayah, N.; Abdeljawad, T. Fixed point theorems for α – ψ -contractive mapping in Sb -metric spaces. J. Math. Anal. 2017, 8, 40–46.
- [16]. Souayah, N.; Mlaiki, N.; Mrad, M. The GM-contraction principle for mappings on M-metric spaces endowed with a graph and fixed point theorems. IEEE Access 2018, 6, 25178–25184.
- [17]. Souayah, N.; Mlaiki, N. A fixed point theorem in Sb metric spaces. J. Math. Comput. Sci. 2016, 16, 131–139.
- [18]. Ameer, E.; Arshad, M.; Shatanawi, W. Common fixed point results for generalized α^* – ψ -contraction multivalued mappings in b-metric spaces. J. Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2017, 19, 3069–3086.

- [19]. Shatanawi, W. Fixed and Common Fixed Point for Mapping Satisfying Some Nonlinear Contraction in b-metric Spaces. *J. Math. Anal.* 2016, 7, 1–12.
- [20]. Shatanawi, W.; Pitea, A.; Lazovic, R. Contraction conditions using comparison functions on b-metric spaces. *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* 2014, 2014, 135.
- [21]. Dania Santina , Wan Ainun Mior Othman, Kok Bin Wong and Nabil Mlaiki, New Generalization of Metric-Type Spaces—Strong Controlled, Symmetry 2023, 15, 416, 1-12.
- [22]. Muhammad Usman Ali, Tayyab Kamran, Alia Kurdi; Fixed Point Theorems In b-Multiplicative Metric Spaces, *U.P.B. Sci. Bull., Series A, Vol. 79, I. 3, 2017, 107-116.*
- [23]. 30. Kirk, W.; Shahzad, N. *Fixed Point Theory in Distance Spaces*; Springer: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 2014
- [24]. Matkowski, J. Fixed point theorems for mappings with a contractive iterate at a point. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* 1977, 62, 344–348.
- [25]. Kannan, R. Some results on fixed points. *Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc.* 1968, 60, 71–76.