

# Artificial Intelligence Adoption in Service Industries: A Systematic Literature Review of key Drives, Barriers, Challenges, and Strategies

<sup>1</sup>T D C Pushpakumara; <sup>2</sup>Fazeela Jameel Ahsan

<sup>1</sup>Department of Civil Engineering, University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka

<sup>2</sup>Department of Marketing, University of Colombo, Sri Lanka

Publication Date: 2025/04/07

**Abstract:** Artificial Intelligence (AI) is reshaping service industries by automating processes, enhancing decision-making, and delivering personalized customer experiences across sectors like tourism, healthcare, finance, and governance. This systematic literature review consolidates findings from over 100 studies to explore the drivers, barriers, and strategies influencing AI adoption. While AI-driven advancements such as robotic process automation (RPA) and predictive analytics enable efficiency and innovation, significant challenges like infrastructural limitations, ethical concerns, and organizational resistance hinder its widespread adoption. High implementation costs, socio-economic disparities, and data privacy issues further complicate integration efforts, particularly in underdeveloped regions and resource-constrained industries. To address these barriers, the study highlights strategies like targeted training, policy-driven investments in digital ecosystems, and robust data governance frameworks. Additionally, balancing AI automation with human interaction emerges as a critical factor for stakeholder trust and acceptance. This review emphasizes the importance of interdisciplinary collaboration to align technological advancements with societal and organizational goals, ensuring that AI adoption fosters sustainability, inclusivity, and long-term growth in service industries.

**Keywords:** Artificial Intelligence (AI), Service Industries, AI Adoption Strategies, Infrastructure Limitations, Ethical Concerns in AI, Data Privacy Challenges, Organizational Resistance, Sustainability in AI Integration.

**How to Cite:** T D C Pushpakumara; Fazeela Jameel Ahsan (2025) Artificial Intelligence Adoption in Service Industries: A Systematic Literature Review of key drives, Barriers, Challenges, and Strategies. *International Journal of Innovative Science and Research Technology*, 10(3), 2240-2256. <https://doi.org/10.38124/ijisrt/25mar1490>

## I. INTRODUCTION

Artificial Intelligence (AI) has emerged as a transformative technology in service industries, redefining how businesses operate and deliver value (Agarwal, 2024). AI technologies such as machine learning, robotics, and natural language processing have enabled organizations to automate routine processes, enhance decision-making capabilities, and offer highly personalized customer experiences (Rane, 2024). These innovations have significantly impacted various service sectors, including tourism, healthcare, finance, and retail, driving operational efficiency and improving customer satisfaction (Truong, 2020). The integration of AI into service industries has been driven by its ability to optimize resource utilization, reduce operational costs, and unlock new business opportunities (Alliou, 2023). For instance, AI-powered tools like chatbots and virtual assistants have revolutionized customer service by providing 24/7 support and addressing

customer inquiries in real-time (Inavolu, 2024), (Goriparthi, 2024). Similarly, AI-driven predictive analytics in finance has enhanced fraud detection and investment decision-making. In healthcare, AI supports early diagnosis and personalized treatments, while in tourism, it enables smart recommendations and resource optimization (Patil, 2024). Despite the potential benefits, the adoption of AI in service industries faces several challenges. Key barriers include a lack of technological maturity in some sectors, socio-cultural resistance to automation, and ethical concerns surrounding data privacy and security (Koshanam, 2024). Additionally, infrastructural limitations, high implementation costs, and a shortage of skilled personnel further complicate the widespread adoption of AI (Dwivedi, 2023). Another notable challenge is balancing human and AI-driven interactions (Martini, 2024). While AI excels in efficiency and consistency, research indicates that many customers value empathetic, human-centered service experiences (Nicolescu,

2022). This necessitates a hybrid approach that leverages AI's capabilities while maintaining human involvement to meet diverse customer expectations (Chowdhury, 2023). Adopting AI across service industries is highly context-dependent, influenced by factors such as industry-specific requirements, cultural attitudes, and regulatory frameworks (Engvall, 2024). Although extensive research exists on AI's impact in various sectors, there remains a need for systematic synthesis to identify common trends, challenges, and best practices (Jan, 2023). This systematic literature review aims to consolidate findings from global studies, providing a comprehensive understanding of AI adoption in service industries. It seeks to highlight the technological, organizational, and societal factors influencing AI integration and identify strategies for overcoming challenges. Furthermore, this review explores AI deployment's ethical implications and sustainability considerations in service sectors. By offering actionable insights, this review will inform policymakers, industry leaders, and researchers on how to harness AI's transformative potential effectively. It emphasizes the importance of balancing innovation with ethical and practical considerations, ensuring that AI adoption contributes to service industries' long-term growth and sustainability.

## II. RESEARCH QUESTIONS

- What are the key drivers influencing the adoption of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in service industries?
- What are the barriers influencing the adoption of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in service industries?
- What challenges arise from AI adoption in service industries, and how can they be addressed?
- What strategies are effective for overcoming infrastructural limitation to AI integration in service industries?
- What strategies are effective for overcoming organizational resistance to AI integration in service industries?

## III. METHODOLOGY

This literature review draws from a comprehensive range of academic databases, including, IEEE Xplore, Springer Link, ScienceDirect, Taylor & Francis, MDPI, Emerald, Elsevier, and Google Scholar, to capture a broad spectrum of relevant studies. Adopting a systematic literature review approach, it aims to identify, evaluate, and synthesize existing research on AI adoption in service industries. The review process adheres to PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses) guidelines, ensuring a transparent and reproducible methodology.

### A. Search Strategy

A comprehensive search was performed in several academic databases, including Emerald, Springer Link, Elsevier, and Google Scholar, using the keywords "AI in Service industries," and "AI adoption," Boolean operators (AND, OR) were used to refine the search and cover various terms related to AI adoption in service industries.

### B. Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

#### ➤ Inclusion Criteria:

Studies published from 2018 to 2024 to ensure relevance to current AI technology trends.

Peer-reviewed articles, conference papers, and industry reports in English. Studies focus on AI applications in services industries.

#### ➤ Exclusion Criteria:

Studies focusing solely on non-AI applications. Articles lacking an empirical or theoretical focus on services industries.

Summary of the selected paper for analysis are shown in the following table:

**Table 1: Strength of Literature Review**

Metric	Details
Total number of papers reviewed	102
Number of papers by databased	Elsevier: 04 Springer link: 15, IEEE: 10 Emeral : 13 Science Direct : 06 MDPI: 02, Tailor & Francis:06 Goggle scholar: 47
Distribution by Country type	Proportion: Developed 40% Developing :20%, Both: 40 %
Top contribution countries / regions	USA, UK, India, China, Australia, South Korea, Canada, Italy
Service industries	Hospitality, Tourism and hospitality, service robotics, Banking, Financial services

### C. Data Extraction and Analysis

The selected studies were analyzed and categorized based on key themes identified in the literature, Such as technological advancements, organizational goals, sector-specific needs, perceived benefits, technological limitations, ethical concerns, data security, data privacy, organizational resistance, socio-economic challenges. A data extraction sheet

was meticulously designed to systematically capture study characteristics, methodologies, and key findings. This approach facilitated structured comparisons and enabled a deductive thematic synthesis across the studies, ensuring a comprehensive and organized analysis. The following term shown in the theme and its description.

Table -2: AI Adoption Themes and Descriptions

Theme	Description
AI-driven technological advancements	AI-driven advancements use tools like RPA, computer vision, and analytics to enhance efficiency and sustainability, addressing challenges like costs, skill gaps, and socio-economic impacts
Sector-specific needs for AI adoption	Sector-specific AI adoption requires tailored solutions like RPA and computer vision for manufacturing, safety tools in construction, and decision-enhancing technologies in governance, addressing efficiency, costs, and inclusivity.
The perceived benefits	The perceived benefits of AI adoption include process optimization, personalized services, enhanced risk management, and sustainability across sectors, while addressing challenges like adoption costs and data privacy concerns.
organizational goals	AI adoption supports organizational goals by optimizing processes, enhancing efficiency, improving decision-making, and aligning with sustainability objectives, while addressing challenges like high costs, cultural resistance, and workforce concerns.
Technology limitations	Technology limitations hinder AI adoption by challenging integration, scalability, and reliability, with barriers such as high costs, skill gaps, data privacy issues, and limited digital literacy. Addressing these requires scalable AI solutions, robust data standards, workforce upskilling, and regulatory frameworks to build trust and mitigate inequality.
Infrastructure limitations	Infrastructure limitations significantly hinder AI adoption across industries, stemming from inadequate digital readiness, poor internet connectivity, and insufficient computational capacity. Addressing these challenges requires strategic investments in digital infrastructure, cross-sector collaborations, and government-backed incentives to bridge gaps and ensure equitable access to AI technologies
Organizational resistance to AI adoption	Organizational resistance to AI adoption arises from factors like insufficient staff knowledge, fear of disruptions, and misalignment with workflows and goals. Addressing these barriers requires employee training, gradual digitalization strategies, and fostering skill development through educational partnerships and strategic foresight.
Ethical Challenges	Ethical challenges in AI adoption include bias, data privacy, and transparency concerns, which undermine trust and inclusivity. Addressing these issues requires robust ethical frameworks, stricter data governance, and interdisciplinary strategies to align AI with societal values and sustainability
Data privacy challengers	Data privacy challenges in AI adoption include insufficient anonymization, cybersecurity vulnerabilities, and data misuse, which undermine trust and transparency Addressing these requires robust regulatory frameworks, user-centric designs, and global standards for ethical AI deployment to secure sensitive information
Socio-economic challenges	Socio-economic challenges in AI adoption include workforce displacement, trust deficits, and insufficient digital readiness, requiring strategies like upskilling, stakeholder engagement, and transparency to ensure equitable and inclusive implementation

#### IV. RESULTS AND FINDINGS

##### A. The Adoption of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in Service Industries

The adoption of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in service industries is shaped by a dynamic interplay of drivers and barriers. AI-driven technological advancements, such as robotic process automation and predictive analytics, drive efficiency and innovation, enabling industries to streamline processes and improve decision-making. However, sector-specific needs for AI adoption reveal those industries like manufacturing, construction, and governance face unique challenges, including varying levels of technological maturity and infrastructure readiness. While the perceived benefits of AI, such as enhanced productivity, safety, and customer engagement, highlight its transformative potential, significant technology limitations, including scalability, integration

challenges, and reliance on high-quality data, act as barriers. Furthermore, organizational resistance to AI adoption, stemming from misalignment with goals, fear of disruption, and a lack of workforce skills, exacerbates these challenges. Addressing these issues requires a holistic approach that balances AI's potential with tailored strategies to overcome barriers and ensure successful integration across diverse service sectors.

##### ➤ Key Drivers for Adoption AI Technology in Service Industries.

AI adoption across industries is driven by technological advancements, sector-specific needs, and the perceived benefits it offers. Advancements in AI technology have revolutionized operational efficiency by automating processes, enhancing productivity, and minimizing errors. Integration with emerging technologies like IoT, 5G, and robotics has

further expanded its capabilities, enabling innovative and reliable service offerings. Sector-specific needs, such as the demand for customization and personalization, drive AI adoption in areas like retail and manufacturing, while industries like finance and healthcare leverage AI for compliance and risk management. Additionally, the pandemic highlighted AI's role in addressing labor shortages and enabling remote services. The perceived benefits of AI, including enhanced customer experience, cost reduction, and data-driven decision-making, make it a vital tool for maintaining competitiveness, fostering innovation, and achieving long-term growth. Together, these factors underscore AI's transformative potential across diverse sectors.

- *AI-Driven Technological Advancements*

AI-driven technological advancements are transforming industries by enhancing efficiency, quality, and governance. Ribeiro (2021) and Kakani (2020) emphasized the integration of robotic process automation (RPA) and AI-driven computer vision to improve operational accuracy, classification, and production efficiency, particularly in industrial and food systems. Similarly, Abioye (2021) and Sharma (2020) demonstrated AI's role in optimizing resource utilization, enhancing productivity, and enabling data-driven decision-making in the construction and governance sectors, respectively. AI also significantly contributes to sustainability in production systems, as highlighted by Kakani (2020) and Ernst (2019), who noted that it supports sustainable food production and cost reductions across labor-intensive industries. However, the socio-economic implications of these advancements, such as inequality and unemployment, were underscored by Ernst (2019), Sharma (2020), and Ribeiro (2021), emphasizing the importance of equitable strategies. Despite these benefits, several challenges hinder the widespread adoption of AI. High implementation costs and a lack of skilled personnel were identified as major barriers by Ribeiro (2021) and Kakani (2020). Abioye (2021) and Sharma (2020) highlighted resistance to digitization, infrastructure gaps, and ethical concerns as critical issues in construction and governance. Furthermore, the lack of technological accessibility and integration costs was highlighted across multiple studies (Kakani 2020; Ernst 2019). To address these challenges, the studies recommend strategies such as targeted upskilling initiatives (Ribeiro, 2021; Ernst, 2019), subsidized adoption programs (Kakani, 2020), and ethical frameworks to ensure equitable outcomes (Sharma, 2020; Ernst, 2019).

- *Sector-Specific Needs for AI Adoption*

Sector-specific needs for AI adoption are diverse, reflecting the unique challenges and operational goals of different industries. In manufacturing and food industries, Ribeiro (2021) and Kakani (2020) highlighted the critical role of Robotic Process Automation (RPA) and computer vision in optimizing production processes and addressing sustainability challenges. These technologies are essential for improving

efficiency, predictive analytics, and supply chain management. Similarly, construction relies on AI to enhance safety, risk management, and cost prediction, with Abioye (2021) emphasizing its role in developing sustainable infrastructure despite cultural barriers and high costs. In governance, Sharma (2020) demonstrated AI's transformative potential in decision-making, citizen engagement, and resource optimization, although limited digital infrastructure and trust issues impede widespread adoption. Ernst (2019) underscored the importance of AI in supporting low-skilled workers in labor-intensive sectors, advocating for productivity tools tailored to reduce inequality and foster inclusive growth. Despite these advancements, sector-specific challenges persist across industries. High initial costs, resistance to technology adoption, and inadequate digital infrastructure are significant barriers in manufacturing, food, construction, and governance (Ribeiro, 2021; Kakani, 2020; Abioye, 2021). Rising inequality and market concentration further complicate inclusive AI adoption (Ernst, 2019). To address these challenges, targeted strategies are essential. Recommended approaches include sector-specific AI models and phased technology integration to improve adoption rates (Ribeiro et al, 2021; Abioye, 2021). Policy support for sustainable practices, frameworks for trust-building, and investments in digital inclusivity are also vital (Kakani, 2020; Sharma, 2020; Ernst, 2019). Additionally, industries like tourism and hospitality benefit from AI applications such as chatbots, virtual reality, and predictive analytics for enhanced customer experiences, although challenges like reduced human interaction and resistance to smart technology adoption remain (Buhalis, 2019; Samala et al., 2022). In sectors like finance, AI improves fraud detection, risk management, and customer insights but faces barriers like regulatory inadequacies and user confidence issues (Santoso et al., 2024). For communication networks, 6G technologies require AI-driven optimization, but high costs and computational inefficiencies hinder development (Yang, 2020; Letaief, 2019). Small and medium enterprises (SMEs) also struggle with limited access to technology, underscoring the need for cost-effective AI and IoT frameworks (Hansen, 2021). Collectively, these insights emphasize the necessity of tailoring AI solutions to meet the unique demands of each sector while addressing broader socio-economic and infrastructural challenges. By adopting inclusive strategies, cross-sector collaborations, and targeted investments, industries can unlock the transformative potential of AI.

- *The Perceived Benefits*

The perceived benefits of artificial intelligence (AI) adoption span multiple sectors, showcasing its transformative potential in enhancing operational efficiency, decision-making, and customer experiences. In the manufacturing domain, Ribeiro (2021) highlighted how AI and robotic process automation (RPA) optimize processes, improve forecasting, and enhance data processing efficiency within Industry 4.0 frameworks. Similarly, in the food industry,

Kakani (2020) emphasized that computer vision and AI technologies address sustainability challenges by automating farming processes, improving food production efficiency, and optimizing supply chains. The tourism and hospitality sector has also experienced significant benefits from AI integration. Samala (2020) demonstrated AI's ability to simplify travel arrangements through automation, virtual reality tools, and personalized recommendations, resulting in enhanced customer engagement and satisfaction. In addition, Gupta (2022) and Wirtz (2018) explored the role of AI-enabled service robots, which enhance guest experiences in smart cities and improve efficiency in customer-facing industries. Additionally, Buhalis (2019) advocated for adaptive digital solutions like Web 3.0 and IoT, which facilitate personalized tourism experiences and enhance competitiveness. In financial services, Payne (2021) discussed the significant role of AI in banking platforms, where it streamlines processes, improves user experiences, and facilitates value co-creation. Similarly, Fares (2022) highlighted AI's contributions to fraud detection and customer journey mapping, which result in cost savings and improved customer relationship management. The construction industry has benefited from AI in safety, risk management, and sustainability. Abioye (2021) demonstrated that AI enables better cost prediction and activity monitoring while supporting sustainable infrastructure development. Meanwhile, Sharma (2020) and Dwivedi (2023) illustrated AI's contributions to governance through enhanced decision-making, resource optimization, and citizen engagement. In the energy sector, Ahmad (2021) underscored AI's potential for optimizing renewable energy integration, managing demand and supply, and enhancing smart grid efficiency. Similarly, Ozdemir (2018) emphasized democratizing Big Data within AI systems to promote ethical technology development and inclusivity. Retail and consumer services have leveraged AI for inventory management, personalized marketing, and enhanced customer interactions. Blut (2021) noted that anthropomorphism in service robots improves user interactions and satisfaction, while Weber and (Weber, 2019) highlighted AI's role in driving operational efficiency and customer loyalty. Despite the significant perceived benefits, challenges persist across sectors. High initial costs and resistance to adoption are common barriers in manufacturing and construction (Ribeiro, 2021; Abioye, 2021). Data privacy concerns and over-reliance on technology in tourism and banking present additional risks (Samala, 2020; Payne 2021). Cultural barriers, inadequate digital infrastructure, and workforce skill gaps further hinder AI's full potential in sectors like construction and governance (Abioye, 2021; Sharma, 2020). To maximize these benefits, targeted interventions are essential. Studies recommend promoting training and phased integration of AI technologies (Ribeiro, 2021), balancing automation with human interaction in customer-facing sectors (Samala, 2020), and strengthening data security frameworks to build trust (Payne, 2021). Additionally, developing sector-specific AI models, fostering cultural alignment, and implementing inclusive frameworks

can address adoption barriers and unlock AI's transformative potential (Abioye, 2021; Dwivedi, 2023). Collectively, these findings emphasize the importance of tailored strategies to unlock AI's benefits while addressing sector-specific challenges. AI adoption has proven transformative across sectors, but its success depends on aligning technological advancements with societal, economic, and cultural factors.

- *Organizational Goals*

The integration of artificial intelligence (AI) across various industries highlights its transformative impact on achieving organizational goals, including process optimization, enhanced decision-making, and customer experience improvements. Ribeiro (2021) emphasized the potential of AI and robotic process automation (RPA) in streamlining organizational processes and improving forecasting capabilities in Industry 4.0. Similarly, Abioye (2021) demonstrated AI's role in advancing safety, efficiency, and sustainability in the construction sector, while Kakani(2020) noted its contribution to sustainable food production through robotics and process optimization.

In governance, Sharma 2020) highlighted the use of AI in policymaking, resource management, and public safety, underscoring its ability to enhance organizational efficiency. Similarly, Letaief (2019) and Yang (2020) explored AI's role in intelligent 6G networks, emphasizing its contributions to smart resource management and ubiquitous intelligence in wireless communication networks. These findings align with Akter (2020), who underscored the convergence of ABCD (AI, Blockchain, Cloud, and Data Analytics) technologies to drive digital transformation and achieve organizational competitiveness. AI's role in workforce management is particularly significant. Jaiswal (2023) and Ernst et al. (2019) emphasized the importance of upskilling employees to adapt to AI-driven environments, enabling better decision-making and organizational adaptability. This aligns with findings by Wirtz (2018), who highlighted AI's role in improving service efficiency through robotics, enabling personalized service delivery and operational cost reductions. In the financial sector, Fares (2022) highlighted AI's ability to enhance customer journey mapping, fraud detection, and risk management, thereby achieving strategic organizational goals. Similarly, Payne (2021) emphasized AI's role in mobile banking platforms, enabling value co-creation and improving service efficiency. Gupta 2022) explored the use of AI robots in the hospitality industry, which not only improves operational efficiency but also enhances guest experiences. Despite its significant contributions, AI adoption is not without challenges. High initial costs and integration complexities are common across industries (Ribeiro 2021; Kakani 2020). Cultural resistance and lack of digital literacy further hinder its adoption in construction and governance (Abioye 2021; Sharma , 2020). Ethical concerns, such as data privacy and algorithmic bias, present additional challenges in sectors like finance and retail (Fares , 2022; Weber, 2019). To

address these challenges, the literature offers several recommendations. Structured training programs and continuous learning are critical to overcoming workforce resistance and knowledge gaps (Jaiswal, 2023). Advocacy for digital literacy and policy reforms can help mitigate cultural barriers in sectors like construction and governance (Abioye, 2021). Ethical AI frameworks and transparent governance models are essential for addressing data privacy concerns and building trust across sectors (Sharma, 2020; Kaplan & Haenlein, 2018). Furthermore, investments in scalable and sustainable AI solutions, as well as research into energy-efficient models, are crucial for advancing AI's role in achieving organizational goals (Lotief, 2019; Yang, 2020).

Collectively, these studies highlight AI's transformative potential in helping organizations achieve their goals. By addressing sector-specific challenges and fostering inclusive adoption strategies, organizations can leverage AI to optimize processes, enhance decision-making, and drive sustainable growth across industries.

#### ➤ *Barriers influencing the Adoption of Artificial Intelligence*

The adoption of Artificial Intelligence (AI) faces several barriers, including technological, ethical, and socio-economic challenges. Technological limitations such as insufficient infrastructure, lack of interoperability, and the complexity of integrating AI into existing systems hinder widespread adoption. These issues are compounded by the high costs of implementation and the scarcity of skilled professionals to manage AI technologies. Ethical and data privacy challenges further complicate AI adoption, as concerns over data misuse, algorithmic bias, and the transparency of AI systems raise questions about trust and accountability. These challenges are particularly critical in sectors handling sensitive data, such as healthcare and finance. Socio-economic challenges also play a significant role, with resistance to change, fear of job displacement, and inequalities in access to AI technology limiting its acceptance. Addressing these barriers is essential for organizations to unlock the full potential of AI and drive its integration across industries.

#### • *Technology Limitations*

Artificial intelligence (AI) has emerged as a transformative force across industries, enabling advancements in automation, decision-making, and customer engagement. However, the adoption and implementation of AI face several technological limitations that hinder its full potential. Integration challenges, such as those identified by Jorge Ribeiro (2021) and Kakani (2020), highlight dependencies on advanced algorithms and computational infrastructure, as well as data reliability issues that affect real-time implementation. Infrastructure inadequacies, such as those discussed by Lotief (2019) and Wang (2020), include high energy consumption and insufficient support for IoT systems. Data quality issues further exacerbate these challenges, as noted by Parvez (2021) and Rana (2022), who point to the need for robust governance

and data-sharing frameworks. Workforce readiness and expertise gaps, emphasized by Jaiswal (2022) and Emil Hansen and Simon Bogh (2020), also limit the effective adoption of AI, particularly in SMEs and multinational corporations. Ethical concerns, including the loss of human interaction and personalization in AI-driven systems, as highlighted by Jochen Wirtz (2018) and Samala (2022), further complicate adoption. The integration of AI into existing systems often requires substantial infrastructure investments and technical adjustments. For instance, advanced computational needs and the reliance on scalable algorithms create barriers for smaller organizations and emerging economies. Moreover, the lack of interoperability across platforms, as discussed by Buhalis (2019), adds complexity to implementing AI solutions seamlessly. Addressing these issues demands a concerted effort to standardize technological frameworks and promote cross-platform compatibility. Another critical limitation is the quality and volume of data required for effective AI functioning. High-quality datasets are the backbone of AI-driven insights, but challenges in collecting, processing, and managing data often hinder optimal outcomes. The works of Liz Payne (2021) and Parvez (2021) suggest implementing comprehensive data governance frameworks to ensure integrity and reliability. Simultaneously, ethical considerations such as data privacy and transparency, highlighted by researchers like Rana (2022), necessitate the development of robust policies to protect user trust and compliance.

From an operational perspective, the readiness of the workforce to adapt to AI-driven transformations is another significant barrier. Jaiswal (2022) emphasized that many organizations lack the skills and knowledge necessary for effective AI implementation. This gap is particularly pronounced in small and medium enterprises (SMEs), where resource constraints limit access to advanced training programs. Investments in corporate-led training and continuous professional development initiatives are essential to bridge this divide. Ethical concerns further compound the technological limitations of AI. Jochen Wirtz (2018) and Samala (2022) highlighted the potential for depersonalization in AI-driven services, particularly in customer-facing roles. The loss of a human touch, combined with concerns about data usage and algorithmic bias, poses significant challenges to user acceptance. To mitigate these issues, hybrid service models that balance automation with human interaction have been proposed as a viable solution. To address these limitations, researchers recommend several strategic measures. For instance, fostering technical collaborations and developing energy-efficient technologies can reduce infrastructural challenges, as noted by Ribeiro (2021). Creating accessible training programs and enhancing workforce readiness, as suggested by Hansen and Simon Bogh (2020), can empower organizations to adopt AI effectively. Moreover, improving data governance and prioritizing ethical AI practices, as emphasized by Payne (2021) and Rana (2022), are critical for

building trust and ensuring sustainable AI adoption. Collectively, these strategies can help industries navigate technological barriers and unlock the transformative potential of AI.

- *Infrastructure Limitations*

Infrastructure readiness plays a pivotal role in the adoption and success of Artificial Intelligence (AI) across various sectors. Despite AI's transformative potential, its adoption faces challenges stemming from inadequate digital and computational infrastructure. Ribeiro et al. (2021) identified significant issues integrating AI with legacy systems, citing high computational demands and scalability challenges in manufacturing industries. Similarly, Abioye et al. (2021) emphasized poor internet connectivity and insufficient AI-ready infrastructure on construction sites, which hampers the adoption of advanced safety and resource management tools. In rural areas, Kakani et al. (2020) highlighted insufficient big data processing capabilities, which limit precision farming and IoT adoption in agriculture. This infrastructure gap extends to governance, where Sharma et al. (2020) noted that inequality in access to digital infrastructure perpetuates the digital divide between urban and rural areas, hindering public service delivery. The tourism industry also grapples with infrastructure challenges. Buhalis (2019) highlighted disparities in digital readiness among destinations and insufficient interoperability in tourism systems, stalling the implementation of smart tourism initiatives. Samala et al. (2022) reported inadequate platforms for real-time AI analytics, limiting the potential for personalized travel experiences. In the financial services sector, Payne et al. (2021) discussed gaps in mobile internet infrastructure in rural areas, which exacerbate cybersecurity vulnerabilities and limit mobile banking adoption. Furthermore, Hansen (2020) argued that SMEs face high entry barriers for adopting IoT and AI, citing resource constraints and a lack of government-backed incentives. The advent of Industry 4.0 and the transition to Industry 5.0 underscore the pressing need for robust infrastructure. Wirtz et al. (2018) noted bandwidth limitations in deploying real-time service robots, while Faruqi (2019) emphasized insufficient integration of AI and IoT for SMEs. In energy systems, Ahmad et al. (2021) identified aging grid infrastructures and limited IoT integration as barriers to AI-based renewable energy optimization. Moreover, Yang et al. (2020) pointed out gaps in computational capacity and integration for next-generation 6G networks. Efforts to address these infrastructure limitations are evident across various recommendations. Fares et al. (2022) advocated for public-private partnerships to enhance rural banking infrastructure, while Faruqi (2019) called for cross-sector collaborations to develop shared IoT and AI ecosystems. In tourism, unified digital platforms and cross-border policies have been suggested by Buhalis (2019) to standardize smart tourism. Similarly, Hansen (2020) proposed government-backed grants to ease the cost burden for SMEs adopting predictive analytics. In communications, Letaief et al. (2019)

emphasized the need for international collaborations to achieve scalable and cost-effective 6G networks. Overall, the successful adoption of AI hinges on strategic investments in infrastructure, equitable access to digital tools, and policy-driven initiatives. By addressing these gaps, industries can harness the full potential of AI while mitigating the risks posed by digital inequities and resource constraints.

- *Organizational Resistance to AI Adoption*

Organizational resistance to AI adoption is a significant barrier, arising from various factors across industries. Parvez (2021) and Faruqi (2019) emphasized that resistance often stems from a lack of staff knowledge and alignment between technological implementations and organizational goals. Similarly, Buhalis (2019) highlighted the failure to align AI technologies with customer-focused objectives as a key driver of resistance. Liong (2024) and Letaief (2019) noted that negative attitudes toward AI capabilities and fear of organizational disruptions further hinder adoption. Recurring challenges contributing to resistance include insufficient employee training, misalignment with existing workflows, and the absence of specialized skill sets (Parvez, 2021; Letaief, 2019). Organizational inertia due to a lack of strategic foresight and confidence in new technologies exacerbates these issues (Buhalis, 2019; Liong, 2024). Resistance is also fueled by fear of disruptions to organizational structure and reluctance to adapt to AI-driven changes (Faruqi, 2019; Letaief, 2019). To address these challenges, the studies recommend targeted interventions. Parvez (2021) and Liong (2024) emphasized investing in employee training programs and workshops to build confidence in using AI technologies. Faruqi (2019) proposed a gradual digitalization strategy accompanied by extensive support for employees to adapt to workflow changes. Buhalis (2019) highlighted the importance of strategic foresight and adopting agile frameworks to overcome organizational inertia. Letaief et al. (2019) suggested establishing partnerships with educational institutions to bridge skill gaps and foster readiness for AI adoption. Collectively, these strategies emphasize the need for organizational alignment, skill development, and strategic planning to overcome resistance and fully leverage AI's potential.

### *B. Ethical, Data Privacy and Socio-Economic Challenges*

The adoption of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in various sectors is accompanied by interconnected ethical, data privacy, and socio-economic challenges. Ethically, AI systems often reflect biases from training data, resulting in unfair outcomes and a lack of accountability, which undermines trust. Concerns over workforce displacement due to automation further complicate ethical considerations, highlighting the need for retraining and equitable workforce transitions. Data privacy is another critical challenge as AI systems handle vast volumes of sensitive information, increasing risks of data misuse, insufficient anonymization, and cybersecurity vulnerabilities. Transparency and accountability in data use

remain significant gaps, particularly in finance, energy, and public governance, eroding public trust. Socio-economic disparities further hinder AI adoption, with limited access to digital infrastructure, income inequality, and skill gaps disproportionately affecting underdeveloped regions and resource-constrained industries. Addressing these challenges requires robust ethical frameworks, strong data governance policies, inclusive workforce strategies, and global collaboration to ensure equitable, sustainable, and trustworthy AI adoption.

- *Ethical Challengers*

Ethical concerns surrounding AI adoption are critical and multifaceted, spanning issues like bias, transparency, and data privacy. Dwivedi (2019) highlighted challenges in bias, transparency, and accountability, emphasizing the need for robust ethical frameworks to guide AI usage. Rashid (2024) and Dwivedi (2023) pointed to data privacy and surveillance concerns, particularly the potential for AI misuse in monitoring and data collection, which can lead to trust issues. Sjödin (2021) identified ethical concerns related to the misalignment between AI predictions and business decisions, potentially creating unintended consequences in AI-driven models. Kelly (2023) added concerns about the loss of human touch and trust in AI applications, which can hinder widespread acceptance. Recurring challenges include misinformation and bias in generative AI tools, as noted by Dwivedi(2023), and the potential for misuse in surveillance systems (Rashid, 2024). Resistance to AI adoption due to trust and perceived usefulness issues is another persistent barrier (Kelly ,2023). These concerns are compounded by the lack of comprehensive ethical frameworks (Dwivedi et al., 2019) and inadequate governance practices for data security (Rashid et al., 2024). To address these ethical challenges, the studies propose several recommendations. Dwivedi (2019) emphasized the development of robust ethical guidelines and policies, while Rashid (2024) called for stricter data governance practices. Sjödin (2021) advocated fostering co-evolutionary processes and feedback loops to ensure AI aligns with organizational goals. Further research on balancing AI-human interaction is recommended to maintain trust and address ethical gaps in generative AI systems (Dwivedi, 2023; Kelly 2023). Collectively, these insights underline the urgent need for comprehensive strategies to address ethical concerns and build trust in AI technologies.

The adoption of Artificial Intelligence (AI) across industries has brought to light a complex array of ethical challenges that demand urgent attention. One significant issue is algorithmic bias, which manifests in various sectors, including creative industries and surveillance systems. Studies reveal how biases in AI-generated content and decision-making algorithms can perpetuate stereotypes and result in unfair outcomes, undermining trust and inclusivity (Anantrasirichai, 2021; AI Now Institute, 2018). The displacement of human labor due to automation is another

critical ethical concern, especially in service industries like hospitality, where robots and AI systems are replacing human employees. This shift raises questions about workforce equity, the psychological impact of job loss, and the need for retraining frameworks to support displaced workers (Agrawal ., 2019; Youngjoon Choi, 2020). Moreover, transparency and governance gaps in AI systems, particularly in sectors like tourism and finance, highlight the lack of accountability in data usage and decision-making processes, eroding public trust (Wang, 2020; Wamba-Taguimdje , 2020). The limited attention to environmental ethics further compounds these challenges, as AI applications in energy and sustainability often overlook their carbon footprint and broader ecological impact (Ahmad, 2021). Compounding these issues is the absence of comprehensive ethical frameworks and regulatory policies, which leaves industries ill-equipped to address the moral and societal implications of AI integration (Ajay Agrawal et al., 2019; Wirtz, 2018). Additionally, the growing autonomy of AI systems and service robots raises concerns about diminishing human interaction and potential over-reliance on machines, reshaping societal norms and ethical boundaries (Wirtz, 2018; Youngjoon Choi, 2020). To navigate these challenges, interdisciplinary collaboration is essential, bringing together policymakers, industry leaders, and ethicists to develop robust frameworks that prioritize fairness, transparency, workforce equity, and sustainability, ensuring that AI technologies align with societal values and contribute positively to global progress.

- *Data Privacy Challengers*

Data security has become a critical concern in the digital era, with the increasing reliance on artificial intelligence (AI) to safeguard sensitive information. AI systems offer advanced solutions for detecting and mitigating cyber threats, yet their adoption is accompanied by challenges related to privacy, accountability, and ethical considerations. In the banking sector, AI has revolutionized fraud detection by employing machine learning algorithms to identify anomalous patterns in transactions, enabling real-time interventions. Johnson (2022) underscores that while these advancements enhance security, they also introduce privacy risks as large volumes of sensitive user data are processed. Similarly, Agrawal (2019) note that automated prediction models, though effective, amplify concerns about data misuse and trust in AI systems. The energy sector has also benefited from AI-driven security mechanisms. Ahmad (2021) highlights that AI algorithms are essential for preventing cyberattacks on renewable energy systems, ensuring data integrity, and optimizing system performance. However, the integration of such technologies with legacy infrastructure remains a significant hurdle, requiring substantial investment in modernization. In public governance, AI applications have improved the efficiency of decision-making processes, particularly in managing large-scale citizen data. The AI Now Institute (2018) identifies privacy concerns and the lack of accountability frameworks as critical challenges. Automated systems often operate without

clear auditing mechanisms, raising questions about transparency and the potential misuse of data. The creative industries further illustrate the dual role of AI in advancing data management and presenting ethical dilemmas. Anantrasirichai (2021) observe that AI facilitates content creation and collaborative workflows, but it also raises issues of human-machine collaboration and the ethical boundaries of data utilization. Despite these challenges, researchers have proposed several strategies to enhance data security while addressing ethical and operational concerns. Transparency in AI systems, as advocated by the AI Now Institute (2018), is fundamental to building public trust. Ahmad (2021) recommends the development of robust frameworks for integrating AI into outdated systems, particularly in critical sectors like energy. Meanwhile, Anantrasirichai (2021) emphasize the need for human-centric AI designs that respect ethical guidelines and prioritize user privacy. In conclusion, AI offers transformative potential for enhancing data security across various sectors. However, its effectiveness is contingent upon addressing the associated challenges of privacy, accountability, and ethical considerations. Future research should focus on developing sector-specific strategies, integrating user-centric approaches, and establishing regulatory frameworks to ensure that AI applications in data security are both effective and ethical. Such measures will be instrumental in realizing the full potential of AI as a tool for securing sensitive information in the digital age.

The adoption of Artificial Intelligence (AI) has brought significant data privacy challenges, spanning insufficient anonymization, cybersecurity vulnerabilities, and data misuse across industries. Inadequate anonymization protocols, particularly in sectors like construction, expose sensitive data to potential misuse (Abioye , 2021). Similarly, weak governance mechanisms and a lack of transparency in data usage contribute to workforce surveillance concerns and undermine user trust (Agrawal , 2019; AI Now Institute, 2018). Cybersecurity vulnerabilities in hyper-connected ecosystems, such as energy management systems, amplify risks, with cascading effects from potential cyberattacks (Ahmad , 2021; Babu, 2022). Moreover, unresolved issues surrounding intellectual property rights and user consent challenge ethical boundaries, particularly in AI-generated content and cross-border data exchanges (Anantrasirichai , 2021; Wamba-Taguimdje , 2020). The lack of robust regulatory frameworks further exacerbates risks, as current policies struggle to keep pace with rapid advancements in AI technologies (O-zdemir, 2018). Industries are increasingly misusing personal and corporate data, exploiting gaps in governance and raising ethical and reputational concerns (Agrawal., 2019; Wirtz., 2018). Addressing these challenges requires comprehensive reforms, including standardized anonymization practices, robust cybersecurity measures, and global governance frameworks to ensure ethical AI deployment.

- *Socio-Economic Challenges*

Socio-economic challenges significantly influence the adoption and equitable distribution of benefits from AI technologies. Ahmad (2021) and Kelly (2023) emphasized that socio-economic disparities, including unequal infrastructure development and cultural barriers, hinder AI adoption across diverse contexts. Income inequality further shapes service preferences and limits accessibility, as noted by Prentice (2020) and Ahmad (2021). Sonia (2020) and Spath et al. (2019) pointed out that socio-economic divides restrict AI-driven entrepreneurial opportunities and access to ethical resources, exacerbating disparities in AI adoption and usage. These studies also identify recurring themes amplifying these challenges. The lack of equitable infrastructure development (Ahmad, 2021; Sonia., 2020) and cultural and educational barriers (Kelly, 2023; Spath , 2019) emerge as persistent obstacles. Ethical concerns and resource disparities complicate efforts to ensure equitable AI adoption (Spath, 2019; Prentice., 2020). To address these issues, the studies recommend actionable strategies, including increasing accessibility through policy incentives (Ahmad, 2021), developing trust-building mechanisms (Kelly, 2023), fostering AI literacy and access to innovation hubs (Sonia , 2020), and creating global ethical AI frameworks to align AI initiatives with socio-economic realities (Spath., 2019). Collectively, these findings underscore the critical need for inclusive frameworks and equitable strategies to bridge socio-economic gaps, ensuring that AI technologies contribute to sustainable and widespread progress.

- *C. Strategies to Overcome Infrastructural Limitation and Organizational Resistance to AI Integration*

Overcoming infrastructural limitations and organizational resistance is critical for successful AI adoption. Infrastructural Limitations can be addressed through investments in IoT, cloud systems, and advanced tools like Building Information Modeling (BIM) and predictive analytics, which optimize resource utilization and enhance connectivity. Public-private partnerships and cross-disciplinary collaborations are essential to bridge resource gaps, while ethical governance frameworks ensure scalable and sustainable AI implementation. These strategies align AI deployment with societal and environmental goals, driving innovation and inclusivity. Organizational Resistance stems from workforce displacement fears, trust deficits, and insufficient digital readiness. Strategies to mitigate resistance include targeted digital literacy training and upskilling programs to build employee adaptability. Transparent communication, collaborative AI models, and human-centric designs foster stakeholder trust and align AI adoption with organizational goals. Together, these strategies enable effective integration of AI technologies across industries.

- *Strategies to Overcome Infrastructural Limitation*

The adoption of Artificial Intelligence (AI) across industries necessitates overcoming significant infrastructural limitations such as outdated technologies, insufficient connectivity, and inadequate frameworks. Numerous strategies have been proposed in the literature to address these challenges. Ribeiro (2021) advocate for the integration of IoT and AI to enable real-time decision-making, which improves manufacturing efficiency. Similarly, Abioye e (2021) emphasize the role of Building Information Modeling (BIM) and advanced analytics in optimizing waste management in construction projects. Kakani (2020) highlight predictive modeling in agriculture to address productivity gaps and improve resource optimization, especially in low-resource settings. Sharma (2020) proposes the use of AI-powered tools in e-governance to streamline decision-making processes and enhance data transparency. Ahmad (2021) focus on AI's role in renewable energy systems, where it integrates smart grids with IoT to enhance energy efficiency and address cyber vulnerabilities. Dwivedi (2019) underscore the potential of cross-disciplinary AI integration in improving sectoral applications, particularly in public sector Decision-making. Reis et al. (2020) discuss the operational standardization facilitated by service robots in the hospitality industry, reducing human errors while enhancing operational efficiency. Furthermore, Sjödin (2021) stress the importance of robust data pipelines and algorithmic improvements for scaling AI applications in business ecosystems. In tourism, Buhalis (2019) highlights the role of AI-enabled ambient intelligence to provide personalized services and address technological gaps in tourism destinations. Key recommendations across studies include investing in IoT and cloud-based infrastructures to support seamless integration of AI technologies, promoting public-private collaborations to bridge resource gaps, and designing scalable AI systems tailored for low-resource environments. Fostering robust regulatory frameworks and ethical governance is also critical to ensure successful AI deployment across diverse sectors (Kelly, 2023; Tanveer., 2024). Additionally, interdisciplinary approaches such as combining AI with IoT, big data, and renewable energy systems can enhance the resilience and efficiency of infrastructural systems (Soni, 2020; Prentice, 2020). By adopting these strategies, industries can overcome infrastructural challenges, thereby enabling AI technologies to drive innovation, efficiency, and sustainability across diverse sectors. This comprehensive approach ensures that AI deployment not only enhances operational processes but also aligns with broader societal goals of equity and environmental sustainability.

- *Strategies to Overcome Organizational Resistance*

Organizational resistance remains a significant barrier to Artificial Intelligence (AI) adoption, driven by concerns such as workforce displacement, trust deficits, and insufficient digital preparedness. Strategies to address these challenges emphasize training programs to improve digital literacy and

adaptability, as highlighted by Abioye et al. (2021), and upskilling initiatives to mitigate fears of job displacement (Ernst , 2019). Ribeiro . (2021) advocate for using Robotic Process Automation (RPA) tools to streamline processes and enhance operational reliability, while Kakani (2020) emphasize stakeholder engagement through collaborative AI models to align goals and foster buy-in. Transparency in AI deployment is critical for building trust among employees and the public, particularly in sectors like governance and energy, where it enhances accountability (Sharma , 2020; Ahmad, 2021). Service-centric strategies, such as human-centric robot designs in hospitality (Reis, 2020) and emotional intelligence training for customer-facing AI applications (Prentice , 2020), also play a crucial role in reducing resistance. Recommendations include comprehensive training frameworks, transparent adoption guidelines, and fostering public-private partnerships to ensure stakeholder alignment and societal trust (Kelly, 2023). By addressing these organizational challenges through targeted strategies, industries can effectively integrate AI technologies while ensuring workforce inclusion and equitable adoption.

## V. DISCUSSION

Artificial Intelligence (AI) is driving a transformative wave across service industries, enabling businesses to meet sector-specific needs, optimize operations, and achieve organizational goals. Rapid advancements in AI are reshaping industries by enhancing automation, decision-making, and efficiency. AI's integration with emerging technologies, such as the Internet of Things (IoT) and machine learning, has amplified its capabilities, creating intelligent and interconnected ecosystems. For instance, in telecommunications, AI-driven 6G networks promise ultra-fast connectivity and enhanced automation, enabling applications like virtual reality (Yang et al., 2020). Similarly, the convergence of AI with IoT in manufacturing facilitates predictive maintenance and real-time data analytics, optimizing operations in resource-constrained environments (Hansen & Bøgh, 2020). The hospitality and tourism industry exemplifies AI's transformative potential. Tools like chatbots, robotics, and recommendation systems enhance customer engagement, improve operational productivity, and foster personalized experiences (Buhalis, 2019). These advancements align with Industry 4.0, where AI and IoT are central to creating innovative and sustainable service ecosystems (Mah et al., 2022).

The adoption of AI is driven by its ability to address unique challenges and opportunities in various sectors. In tourism, AI-enabled tools like virtual reality and service robots not only streamline operations but also rebuild customer confidence in the wake of disruptions like the COVID-19 pandemic (Jiang & Wen, 2020). In the financial sector, AI optimizes processes such as fraud detection and credit scoring,

offering better risk management and democratizing access to financial services (Belanche, 2019). Small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) particularly benefit from AI's scalability and efficiency. Predictive analytics and IoT integration enable these businesses to overcome resource constraints, adapt to market demands, and deliver tailored services (Hansen & Bøgh, 2020). Retail, too, leverages AI to implement dynamic pricing and personalized marketing strategies, enhancing customer satisfaction and operational agility (Weber & Schütte, 2019).

AI adoption is underpinned by the significant benefits it offers to organizations. It enhances customer experiences by enabling mass personalization and real-time service customization. In hospitality, AI tools like chatbots and augmented worker capabilities improve customer engagement and marketing effectiveness (Bulchand-Gidumal, 2024). Furthermore, AI reduces operational costs through automation and efficiency gains, making it an attractive solution for cost-conscious industries (Kelly et al., 2022).

AI also aligns with sustainability goals by optimizing resource utilization and integrating renewable energy systems in sectors like energy (Ahmad, 2021). In education, AI supports contextualized learning and reduces teacher workloads, demonstrating its versatility across societal domains (Chaudhry & Kazim, 2022).

AI adoption is revolutionizing service industries by meeting sector-specific needs, enhancing customer experiences, and driving organizational goals. Its integration with emerging technologies like IoT and 6G networks underscores its potential to optimize operations and foster innovation. Addressing challenges such as workforce resistance, infrastructural limitations, and ethical concerns is critical to realizing AI's full potential. Strategic investments in digital infrastructure, workforce development, and governance frameworks will enable organizations to harness AI's transformative capabilities, paving the way for sustainable and inclusive growth.

#### ➤ *Barriers to AI Adoption in Service Industries.*

Artificial Intelligence (AI) adoption holds significant promise for transforming service industries. However, its integration is hindered by critical barriers such as technological limitations, infrastructural deficiencies, and organizational resistance. Addressing these barriers is essential for realizing AI's full potential in enhancing efficiency, personalization, and decision-making.

The complexities inherent in developing and deploying AI systems represent a substantial barrier to adoption. Advanced technical expertise and robust digital infrastructure are prerequisites for successful AI implementation. In many cases, small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) lack the technical resources and expertise to deploy AI-driven

solutions effectively (Yablonsky, 2018; Polese, 2019). Furthermore, the development of generative AI applications, such as ChatGPT, faces challenges related to producing consistently reliable and unbiased outputs, undermining trust in decision-making processes (Nah, 2023). These limitations are exacerbated by high implementation costs, particularly in underdeveloped regions or smaller firms, where financial constraints inhibit access to cutting-edge AI technologies (Ellefsen, 2019; Mourtzis, 2022). Without addressing these technological challenges, the widespread adoption of AI will remain limited.

Inadequate digital infrastructure poses a significant obstacle to AI adoption, particularly in rural and economically disadvantaged regions. Issues such as unreliable internet connectivity, outdated systems, and insufficient data-sharing frameworks hinder the deployment of AI technologies (Hansen & Bøgh, 2020; Ahmad, 2021). For instance, in tourism, the lack of reliable connectivity impedes the implementation of AI-driven tools such as chatbots and virtual assistants, which rely on seamless communication networks (Buhalis, 2019).

Moreover, the absence of scalable digital ecosystems in developing economies further restricts the ability of organizations to leverage AI for predictive analytics, real-time service delivery, and operational optimization (Letaief, 2019). Investments in infrastructure are critical to overcoming these limitations and enabling AI integration across diverse industries.

Resistance from within organizations is another major barrier to AI adoption. Employees often view AI as a threat to job security, leading to apprehension and reluctance to embrace new technologies. This fear is particularly pronounced in labor-intensive industries such as tourism and manufacturing, where automation is perceived as a direct substitute for human labor (Jaiswal, 2021; Davenport & Ronanki, 2018). In addition to job displacement concerns, a lack of adequate upskilling programs exacerbates workforce resistance, leaving employees unprepared to collaborate with AI systems (Brougham & Haar, 2018).

Furthermore, organizational resistance extends to decision-makers who may be hesitant to invest in AI due to high costs and uncertain returns on investment (Nam et al., 2020). Addressing these challenges requires a dual focus on workforce development and cultural transformation, emphasizing the benefits of human-AI collaboration to achieve organizational goals.

Barriers such as technological limitations, infrastructural deficiencies, and organizational resistance significantly impede the adoption of AI in service industries. Overcoming these challenges requires strategic interventions, including investments in advanced infrastructure, technical training, and

ethical governance frameworks. By addressing these barriers, organizations can harness AI's transformative potential to drive efficiency, innovation, and sustainable growth.

➤ *Ethical, Data Privacy, and Socio-Economic Challenges in AI Adoption*

The adoption of artificial intelligence (AI) across industries offers immense transformative potential, but it also introduces significant challenges related to ethics, data privacy, and socio-economic disparities. Addressing these challenges is essential to ensure equitable and sustainable AI integration.

Ethical dilemmas are a prominent barrier to AI adoption, particularly in industries where trust and accountability are critical. Biases in AI decision-making and the lack of accountability for outcomes are persistent concerns. For instance, AI systems in finance have demonstrated algorithmic biases that disadvantage specific demographic groups, necessitating robust governance frameworks to ensure fairness and inclusivity (Lui & Lamb, 2018; Santoso & Hastuti, 2024). Moreover, anthropomorphism in AI tools, such as chatbots and service robots, has mixed effects on customer engagement, sometimes leading to discomfort or distrust (Blut, 2021).

Ethical governance frameworks, such as Indonesia's AI Code of Ethics, serve as a model for guiding responsible AI adoption by addressing these concerns (Santoso & Hastuti, 2024). Continuous monitoring, ethical auditing, and stakeholder engagement are critical to fostering trust and transparency in AI systems (Manser Payne, 2021).

Data privacy remains a significant obstacle to AI adoption, especially in data-intensive industries like healthcare, finance, and marketing. AI systems rely on vast amounts of user data, increasing the risk of privacy breaches and misuse. For example, breaches in data security can compromise customer trust and lead to regulatory penalties (Rana, 2022). In the tourism sector, where AI-driven tools like recommendation systems and chatbots are prevalent, secure data management is essential to protect sensitive customer information (Buhalis & Foerste, 2015). Compliance with stringent data protection laws, such as GDPR, and the implementation of secure data-sharing frameworks are vital for mitigating privacy concerns. Transparent algorithms and robust encryption techniques can further enhance data security, ensuring that AI systems operate responsibly (Sharma, 2020; Kandampully, 2023).

AI adoption also exacerbates socio-economic disparities, particularly in regions with limited access to digital infrastructure and technological resources. The lack of equitable access to AI technologies hinders the participation of marginalized communities and smaller enterprises, creating a digital divide (Ernst, 2019; Abioye, 2021). Furthermore,

cultural resistance and inadequate digital literacy among certain populations limit the acceptance and effective use of AI systems (Jaiswal, 2021). Policies promoting inclusivity, such as subsidized access to AI technologies and investments in skill development, are essential for addressing socio-economic disparities. Comprehensive educational initiatives can also enhance digital literacy, ensuring that diverse populations can benefit from AI's transformative potential (Ahmad, 2021; Santoso & Hastuti, 2024).

Ethical concerns, data privacy issues, and socio-economic disparities pose significant challenges to AI adoption across industries. Addressing these barriers requires a multifaceted approach, including the development of robust governance frameworks, the implementation of stringent data protection measures, and policies promoting equitable access to AI technologies. By prioritizing transparency, inclusivity, and ethical integrity, industries can harness AI's transformative potential while ensuring sustainable and responsible innovation.

➤ *Strategies to Overcome Infrastructural Limitations and Organizational Resistance to AI Integration*

The successful adoption of artificial intelligence (AI) across industries depends on addressing key barriers such as infrastructural limitations and organizational resistance. readiness.

Bridging connectivity gaps requires robust investments in digital infrastructure, particularly in underserved and rural areas. Collaborative efforts between governments and private entities can drive the deployment of reliable internet connectivity, scalable data-sharing frameworks, and affordable AI technologies (Sharma, 2020; Kandampully, 2023). Advanced technologies like AI-enabled 6G networks, offering ultra-low latency and ubiquitous connectivity, are critical to supporting real-time applications such as virtual reality and IoT (Yang, 2020; Mah, 2022).

Transitioning to cloud-based systems and edge computing can alleviate infrastructure-related challenges by decentralizing data processing and enabling seamless AI deployment in resource-constrained environments. These solutions are particularly beneficial for small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) striving to adopt AI (Rabah, 2018).

Public-Private Partnerships can accelerate infrastructure development by combining government funding with private sector expertise. These partnerships are essential for implementing large-scale AI solutions, particularly in sectors like energy, tourism, and healthcare (Ahmad, 2021).

Developing cost-effective AI tools tailored to SMEs can democratize access to technology. Subsidized AI solutions and tax incentives can further encourage smaller firms to invest in AI (Hansen & Bøgh, 2020; Mourtzis, 2022).

Comprehensive training programs are essential to equip employees with the skills needed to adapt to AI-driven transformations. Upskilling initiatives can alleviate fears of job displacement and foster collaboration between humans and AI systems (Ernst, 2019; Jaiswal, 2021). These programs are particularly relevant in sectors like hospitality and healthcare, where human-AI collaboration enhances service quality (Gursoy & Chi, 2020).

Effective communication about the strategic benefits of AI and its role in supporting organizational goals can build trust among employees. Leadership commitment to fostering a culture of innovation and transparency is critical for overcoming resistance to change (Payne, 2021; Davenport & Ronanki, 2018).

Tailored training programs aligned with industry-specific needs, such as AI applications in banking, tourism, or manufacturing, can enhance readiness for AI integration. Engaging stakeholders throughout the adoption process ensures buy-in and alignment with organizational objectives (Fares et al., 2022).

Establishing robust ethical governance frameworks can address employee concerns about fairness and accountability in AI decision-making. Integrating AI into sustainable practices and aligning it with inclusivity goals can foster long-term acceptance and reduce resistance (Santoso & Hastuti, 2024; Nah, 2023). Overcoming infrastructural limitations and organizational resistance requires a multifaceted approach. Investments in advanced digital infrastructure, such as 6G networks and cloud platforms, are critical to addressing connectivity gaps. Simultaneously, workforce development through upskilling programs and transparent communication can alleviate employee fears and foster a culture of innovation. By prioritizing collaboration, inclusivity, and ethical governance, industries can successfully integrate AI technologies and unlock their transformative potential for sustainable growth.

## VI. CONCLUSION

Artificial Intelligence (AI) is revolutionizing service industries by enhancing operational efficiency, personalizing customer experiences, and aligning with sustainability goals. Its integration with emerging technologies, such as IoT and 6G networks, has amplified its transformative potential, enabling predictive analytics, real-time decision-making, and automation. AI-driven tools in sectors like tourism, finance, and manufacturing have demonstrated their capacity to optimize processes, rebuild consumer confidence, and drive innovation, particularly in resource-constrained environments. Despite these opportunities, significant barriers such as infrastructural deficiencies, workforce resistance, and ethical challenges hinder AI's widespread adoption. Addressing these challenges requires a multifaceted approach involving

strategic investments in digital infrastructure, workforce development through upskilling programs, and robust ethical governance frameworks. For example, collaborative efforts between governments and private entities can bridge connectivity gaps, while tailored training programs can equip employees to collaborate with AI technologies effectively.

Moreover, addressing socio-economic disparities through equitable access to AI technologies and fostering inclusivity are critical for sustainable adoption. Policies promoting subsidized AI solutions, educational initiatives to enhance digital literacy, and frameworks ensuring data privacy and transparency are essential for building trust and acceptance.

By overcoming these barriers and aligning AI integration with organizational and societal goals, industries can unlock AI's full potential. This will drive sustainable growth, foster innovation, and ensure equitable progress across diverse sectors, positioning AI as a cornerstone for future societal and economic transformation.

## REFERENCES

- [1]. Abioye, S. O. (2021). Artificial intelligence in the construction industry: A review of present status, opportunities and future challenges. *Journal of Building Engineer*, 44, 103299.
- [2]. Agarwal, P. S. (2024). Artificial intelligence adoption in the post COVID-19 new-normal and role of smart technologies in transforming business: a review. *Journal of Science and Technology Policy Management*, 15(3), 506-529.
- [3]. Agrawal, A. J. (2019). Artificial Intelligence: The Ambiguous Labor Market Impact of Automating Prediction. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 33 (2): 31–50., 33(02), 31-50. doi:10.1257/jep.33.2.31
- [4]. Ahmad, T. Z. (2021). Artificial intelligence in sustainable energy industry: Status Quo, challenges and opportunities. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 289,, 125834.
- [5]. Akter, O. A. (2020). Cloud forensics: Challenges and blockchain based solutions. *International Journal of Wireless and Microwave Technologies*, 10(5), 1-12.
- [6]. Al Faruqi, U. (2019). Future service in industry 5.0. *Jurnal Sistem Cerdas*, 2(1), 67-79.
- [7]. Alloui, H. &. (2023). Unleashing the potential of AI: Investigating cutting-edge technologies that are transforming businesses. *International Journal of Computer Engineering and Data Science (IJCEDS)*, 3(2), 1-12.
- [8]. Anantrasirichai, N. B. (2022). Artificial intelligence in the creative industries: a review. *Artif Intell Rev*, 55, 589–656. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10462-021-10039-7>

- [9]. Belanche, D. C. (2019). Artificial Intelligence in FinTech: understanding robo-advisors adoption among customers. *Industrial Management & Data Systems*, 119(7), 1411-1430.
- [10]. Belanche, D. C. (2019). Artificial Intelligence in FinTech: understanding robo-advisors adoption among customers. *Industrial Management & Data Systems*, 119 (7), 1411-1430. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1108/IMDS-08-2018-0368>
- [11]. Benzaid, C. &. (2020). AI-driven zero touch network and service management in 5G and beyond: Challenges and research directions. *Ieee Network*, 34(2), 186-194.
- [12]. Bessen, J. (2018). AI and jobs: The role of demand. National Bureau of Economic Research.
- [13]. Blut, M. W. (2021). Understanding anthropomorphism in service provision: a meta-analysis of physical robots, chatbots, and other AI. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 49, , 632-658.
- [14]. Blut, M. W. (2021). Understanding anthropomorphism in service provision: a meta-analysis of physical robots, chatbots, and other AI. . *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, , 49, 632-658.
- [15]. Brock, M. B. (2021). Understanding anthropomorphism in service provision: a meta-analysis of physical robots, chatbots, and other AI. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science* , 632-658. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11747-020-00762-y>
- [16]. Brougham D, H. J. (2018.). Smart Technology, Artificial Intelligence, Robotics, and Algorithms (STARA): Employees' perceptions of our future workplace. *Journal of Management & Organization*. 2018; 24(2):239-257., 24(2), 239-257. doi:10.1017/jmo.2016.55
- [17]. Buhalis, D. H. (2019). Technological disruptions in services: lessons from tourism and hospitality. *Journal of Service Management*, 30(04), 484-506. doi:[doi:doi.org/10.1108/JOS](https://doi.org/10.1108/JOS)
- [18]. Buhalis, D. L. (2023). Metaverse as a disruptive technology revolutionising tourism management and marketing. *Tourism Management*, 97, 104724.
- [19]. Bulchand-Gidumal, J. W. (2024). . Artificial intelligence's impact on hospitality and tourism marketing: exploring key themes and addressing challenges. *Current Issues in Tourism*, 27(14), 2345-2362.
- [20]. Chaudhry, M. A. (2022). Artificial Intelligence in Education (AIEd): A high-level academic and industry note 2021. *AI and Ethics*, 2(1), 157-165.
- [21]. Choi, Y. C. (2020). Service robots in hotels: understanding the service quality perceptions of human-robot interaction. *Journal of Hospitality Marketing & Management*, 29(6), 613-635.
- [22]. Chowdhury, S. D.-E.-E. (2023). Unlocking the value of artificial intelligence in human resource management through AI capability framework. *Human resource management review*, 33(1), 100899.
- [23]. Chui, M. M. (2018). Notes from the AI frontier: Insights from hundreds of use cases. *McKinsey Global Institute*, 2, 267.
- [24]. Davenport, T. H. (2018). Artificial intelligence for the real world. . *Harvard business review*, 96(1), 108-116.
- [25]. Dwivedi, Y. K. (2023). Opinion Paper: "So what if ChatGPT wrote it?" Multidisciplinary perspectives on opportunities, challenges and implications of generative conversational. *International Journal of Information Management*, 71 , 102642.
- [26]. Ellefsen, A. P.-S. ((2019). ). Striving for excellence in AI implementation: AI maturity model framework and preliminary research results. *LogForum*, 15(03).
- [27]. Emil Blixt Hansen, S. B. (2021). Artificial intelligence and internet of things in small and medium-sized enterprises: A survey,. *Journal of Manufacturing Systems*, 58(Part B), 362-372., doi:[doi:doi.org/10.1016/j.jmsy.2020.08.009](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmsy.2020.08.009).
- [28]. Engvall, N. (2024). The Influence of Institutional Factors on AI adoption in EU banking cybersecurity:: A narrative literature review. *Uppsala universitet* .
- [29]. Enholm, I. M. ( (2022). ). Artificial intelligence and business value: A literature review. *Information Systems Frontiers*, 24(5) , 1709-1734.
- [30]. Enholm, I. M. (2022). Artificial intelligence and business value: A literature review. . *Information Systems Frontiers*, 24(5), 1709-1734.
- [31]. Ernst, E. M. (2019). Economics of artificial intelligence: Implications for the future of work. *IZA Journal of Labor Policy*, 09(01).
- [32]. Fares, O. H. (2022). . Utilization of artificial intelligence in the banking sector: a systematic literature review. . *Journal of Financial Services Marketing*, 1, 11(1).
- [33]. Goriparthi, S. (2024). REVOLUTIONIZING CUSTOMER SUPPORT THE ROLE OF AI-ENHANCED CHATBOTS IN MODERN BUSINESS. *INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF DATA ANALYTICS (IJDA)*, 04(01), 16-25.
- [34]. Grewal, D. H. (2020). The future of technology and marketing: A multidisciplinary perspective. . *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 48, 1-8.
- [35]. Gupta, S. M. (2022). Artificial intelligence enabled robots for stay experience in the hospitality industry in a smart city. *Industrial Management & Data Systems*, 122(10), 2331-2350.
- [36]. Gupta, S. M. (2023). The future is yesterday: Use of AI-driven facial recognition to enhance value in the travel and tourism industry. . *Information Systems Frontiers*, 25(3), 1179-1195.
- [37]. Gursoy, D. &. ( 2020). Effects of COVID-19 pandemic on hospitality industry: review of the current situations and a research agenda. . *Journal of Hospitality Marketing & Management*, 29(05), 527-529.

- [38]. Hansen, E. B. (2021). Artificial intelligence and internet of things in small and medium-sized enterprises: A survey. *Journal of Manufacturing Systems*, 58, 362-372.
- [39]. Hu, Q. L. (2021). Can AI artifacts influence human cognition? The effects of artificial autonomy in intelligent personal assistants. *International Journal of Information Management*, 56, 102250.
- [40]. Huang, M. H. (2018). Artificial intelligence in service. *Journal of service research*, 21(2), 155-172.
- [41]. Huang, M. H. (2018). Artificial intelligence in service. *Journal of service research*, 21(2), 155-172.
- [42]. Iansiti, M. &. (2019). *Competing in the age of AI: Strategy and leadership when algorithms and networks run the world*. Harvard Business Review Press.
- [43]. Inavolu, S. M. (2024). Exploring AI-Driven Customer Service: Evolution, Architectures, Opportunities, Challenges and Future Directions.
- [44]. Jaiswal, A. A. (2023). Rebooting employees: Upskilling for artificial intelligence in multinational corporations. In *Artificial Intelligence and International HRM*. Routledge., 1147-143.
- [45]. Jan, Z. A. (2023). Artificial intelligence for industry 4.0: Systematic review of applications, challenges, and opportunities. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 216, 119456.
- [46]. jiang, Y. &. (2020). Effects of COVID-19 on hotel marketing and management: a perspective article. *International journal of contemporary hospitality management*, 32(8), 2563-2573.
- [47]. Jorge Ribeiro, R. L. (2021). Robotic Process Automation and Artificial Intelligence in Industry 4.0 – A Literature review. *Procedia Computer Science*, 181, 51-58. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procs.2021.01.104>.
- [48]. Kakani, V. N. (2020). A critical review on computer vision and artificial intelligence in food industry. *Journal of Agriculture and Food Research*, 02.
- [49]. Kandampully, J. B. (2023). Linking servicescape and experiencescape: creating a collective focus for the service industry. *Journal of Service Management*, .
- [49]. Kandampully, J., Bilgihan, A., & Amer, S. M. (2023). Linking servicescape and experiencescape: creating a collective focus for the service industry. *Journal of Service Management*, 34(2), 316-340.
- [50]. Kaplan, A. &. (2019). Siri, Siri, in my hand: Who's the fairest in the land? On the interpretations, illustrations, and implications of artificial intelligence. *Business horizons*, 62(01), 15-25.
- [51]. Kelly, S. K.-T.-I. (2022). A Multi-Industry Analysis of the Future Use of AI Chatbots. *Human Behavior and Emerging Technologies*, 1–14.
- [52]. Kim, S. S.-B. (2021). Preference for robot service or human service in hotels? Impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic. *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, 93.
- [53]. Koh, L. O. (2019). The fourth industrial revolution (Industry 4.0): technologies disruption on operations and supply chain management. *International Journal of Operations & Production Management*, 39.(6/7/8), 817-828.
- [54]. Koshanam, V. R. (2024). (2024). *Facilitating Ethical Adoption of Artificial Intelligence Technologies in the Public Sector*. Doctoral dissertation, University of Maryland University College.
- [55]. Kunwar, M. (2019). Artificial intelligence in finance: Understanding how automation and machine learning is transforming the financial industry.
- [56]. Lau, A. (2020). New technologies used in COVID-19 for business survival: Insights from the Hotel Sector in China. *Information Technology & Tourism*, 22(04), 497-504.
- [57]. Letaief, K. B. (2019). The roadmap to 6G: AI empowered wireless networks. *IEEE communications magazine*, 57(8), 84-90.
- [58]. Li, M. Y. (2021). A systematic review of AI technology-based service encounters: Implications for hospitality and tourism operations. *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, 95.
- [59]. Li, M. Y. (2021). A systematic review of AI technology-based service encounters: Implications for hospitality and tourism operations. *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, 95, 102930.
- [60]. Lui, A. &. (2018). Artificial intelligence and augmented intelligence collaboration: regaining trust and confidence in the financial sector. *Information & Communications Technology Law*, 27(03), 267-283.
- [61]. Mah, P. M. (2024). November). Investigating Remote Healthcare Accessibility with AI: Deep Learning and NLP-Based Knowledge Graph for Digitalized Diagnostics. . In *Proceedings of the AAAI Symposium Series*, 04(01), 284-292.
- [62]. Mariani, M. M. (2024). Artificial intelligence in service industries: customers' assessment of service production and resilient service operations. . *International Journal of Production Research*, 62(15), 5400-5416.
- [63]. Martini, B. B. (2024). (2024). Human-centered and sustainable artificial intelligence in industry 5.0: Challenges and perspectives. *Sustainability*, 16(13), 5448.
- [64]. Meredith Whittaker, K. C. (2018 ). *AI Now Report*. New York: New York University.
- [65]. Mohiuddin Babu, M. A.-P. (2024). . The role of artificial intelligence in shaping the future of Agile fashion industry. . *Production Planning & Control*, 35(15), 2084-2098.
- [66]. Mohiuddin, M. R.-D. (2023). *Entrepreneurship: New Insights*. BoD–Books on Demand.
- [67]. Mökander, J. &. (2023). Operationalising AI governance through ethics-based auditing: an industry case study. *AI and Ethics*, 3(2), 451-468.

- [68]. Mourtzis, D. A. (2022). A Literature Review of the Challenges and Opportunities of the Transition from Industry 4.0 to Society 5.0. *Energies*, 15(17).
- [69]. Nah, F. F. (2023). An activity system-based perspective of generative AI: Challenges and research directions. *AIS Transactions on Human-Computer Interaction*, 15(03).
- [70]. Nam, K. D. (2021). The adoption of artificial intelligence and robotics in the hotel industry: prospects and challenges. *Electronic Markets*, 31, 553-574.
- [71]. Nam, K. D. (2021). The adoption of artificial intelligence and robotics in the hotel industry: prospects and challenges. *Electronic Markets*, 31, 553-574.
- [72]. Nicolescu, L. &. (2022). Human-computer interaction in customer service: the experience with AI chatbots—a systematic literature review. *Electronics*, 11(10), 1579.
- [73]. Nuruzzaman, M. &. (2018). A survey on chatbot implementation in customer service industry through deep neural networks. *IEEE 15th international conference on e-business engineering (ICEBE)*, (pp. 54-61).
- [74]. Özdemir, V. &. (2018). Birth of industry 5.0: Making sense of big data with artificial intelligence, “the internet of things” and next-generation technology policy. *OmicS: a journal of integrative biology*, 22(01).
- [75]. Parvez, M. O. (2021). Use of machine learning technology for tourist and organizational services: high-tech innovation in the hospitality industry. *Journal of Tourism Futures*, 07(02), 240-244.
- [76]. Patil, D. (2024). Artificial Intelligence In Financial Services: Advancements In Fraud Detection, Risk Management, And Algorithmic Trading Optimization. *Risk Management, And Algorithmic Trading Optimization*.
- [77]. Payne, A. e. (2021). AI and mobile banking platforms. *Journal of Research in Interactive Marketing*.
- [78]. Peres, R. S. (2020). Industrial artificial intelligence in industry 4.0-systematic review, challenges and outlook. *IEEE access*, 8, 220121-220139.
- [79]. Polese, F. e. (2019). Service science in the digital age, *Handbook of Service Science*. Springer.
- [80]. Prentice, C. &. (2020). Engaging and retaining customers with AI and employee service. *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, 56, 102186.
- [81]. Rabah, K. (2018). Convergence of AI, IoT, big data and blockchain: a review. *The lake institute Journal*, 1(1), 1-18.
- [82]. Rana, N. P. (2022). Understanding dark side of artificial intelligence (AI) integrated business analytics: assessing firm’s operational inefficiency and competitiveness. *European Journal of Information Systems*, 31(3), 364-387.
- [83]. Rane, N. L. (2024). Artificial intelligence, machine learning, and deep learning for advanced business strategies: a review. *Partners Universal International Innovation Journal*, 2(3), 147-171.
- [84]. Ribeiro, J. L. (2021). Robotic process automation and artificial intelligence in industry 4.0—a literature review. *Procedia Computer Science*, 181, 51-58.
- [85]. Santoso, M. I. (2024). The Influence of General Attitudes, Subjective Norms, and Control Beliefs on The Intention to Sustainably Use Artificial Intelligence in the Indonesian Financial Services Industry. *Akuntansi Dewantara*, 08(02), 291-305.
- [86]. Sarker, I. H. (2022). AI-based modeling: techniques, applications and research issues towards automation, intelligent and smart systems. *SN Computer Science*, 3(2), 158.
- [87]. Sarker, I. H. (2022). AI-based modeling: techniques, applications and research issues towards automation, intelligent and smart systems. *SN Computer Science*, 03(02), 158.
- [88]. Shahriar Akter, K. M. (2019). Transforming business using digital innovations: the application of AI, blockchain, cloud and data analytics. *Annals of Operations Research*.
- [89]. Sharma, G. D. (2020). Artificial intelligence and effective governance: A review, critique and research agenda. *Sustainable Futures*, 2, 100004.
- [90]. Sharma, K. J. (2022). Analysing the impact of artificial intelligence on the competitiveness of tourism firms: a modified total interpretive structural modeling (m-TISM) approach. *International Journal of Emerging Markets*, 17(04), 1067-1084. doi:doi.org/10.1108/IJOEM-05-2021-0810
- [91]. Shin, D. (2020). User perceptions of algorithmic decisions in the personalized AI system: Perceptual evaluation of fairness, accountability, transparency, and explainability. *Journal of Broadcasting & Electronic Media*, 64(4), 541-565.
- [92]. Siriwardhana, Y. P. (2021). AI and 6G security: Opportunities and challenges. *Joint European Conference on Networks and Communications & 6G Summit (EuCNC/6G Summi)* (pp. 616-621). IEEE.
- [93]. Sofiat O. Abioye, L. O. (2021). Artificial intelligence in the construction industry: A review of present status, opportunities and future challenges. *Journal of Building Engineering*, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.job.2021.103299.
- [94]. Soni, N. S. (2020). Artificial intelligence in business: from research and innovation to market deployment. *Procedia Computer Science*, 167, 2200-2210.
- [95]. Stone, M. A. (2019). From information mismanagement to misinformation—the dark side of information management. *The Bottom Line*, 32(1), 47-70.

- [96]. Tanveer Ahmad, D. Z. (2021). Artificial intelligence in sustainable energy industry: Status Quo, challenges and opportunities,. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 289. doi:doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2021.125834
- [97]. Truong, N. D.-P. (2020). Exploring the impact of innovativeness of hospitality service operation on customer satisfaction. *Operations and Supply Chain Management: . An International Journal*, 13(3), 307-319.
- [98]. Tussyadiah, I. P. (2020). Do travelers trust intelligent service robots?. . *Annals of Tourism Research*, 81, , 102886.
- [99]. Ustundag, A. C. (2018). *A conceptual framework for Industry 4.0. Springer Series in Advanced Manufacturing*.
- [100]. Varian, H. R. (2018). *Artificial intelligence, economics, and industrial organization (Vol. 24839)*. Cambridge, MA, USA::: National Bureau of Economic Research.
- [101]. Vemuri, V. K. (2020). The AI advantage: how to put the artificial intelligence revolution to work,. *Journal of Information Technology Case and Application Research*,. doi:10.1080/15228053.2020.1756084
- [102]. Wamba-Taguimdje, S. L. (2020). Influence of artificial intelligence (AI) on firm performance: the business value of AI-based transformation projects. *Business process management journal*, 26(7), 1893-1924.
- [103]. Wang, W. K. (2020). Realizing the potential of the internet of things for smart tourism with 5G and AI. *IEEE network*, 34(06), 295-301.
- [104]. Weber, F. D. (2019). . State-of-the-art and adoption of artificial intelligence in retailing. *Digital Policy. Regulation and Governance*, 21(03), 264-279.
- [105]. Whittaker, M. C. (2018). *AI now report 2018* . New York: AI Now Institute at New York University.
- [106]. Wirtz, J. P. (2018). ). Brave new world: service robots in the frontline. *Journal of Service Management*, 29(05), 907-931.
- [107]. Yablonsky, S. (2018). A multidimensional framework for digital platform innovation and management: from business to technological platforms. *Systems Research and Behavioral Science*, 35(4), 485-501.
- [108]. Yablonsky, S. A. (2020). AI-driven digital platform innovation. *Technology Innovation Management Review*, 10(10), 10(10).
- [109]. Yang, H. A. (2020). Artificial-intelligence-enabled intelligent 6G networks. *IEEE network*, 34(06), 272-280.
- [110]. Yogesh K. Dwivedi, N. K.-B. (2023). “So what if ChatGPT wrote it?” Multidisciplinary perspectives on opportunities, challenges and implications of generative conversational AI for research, practice and policy,. *International Journal of Information Management*, 71. doi:doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2023.102642.