ISSN No:-2456-2165 https://doi.org/10.38124/ijisrt/25may1396

Artificial Intelligence in Embryo Selection: Revolutionising IVF Laboratory Practices

Dr. Maheshwari. M[1]; Dr. Venugopal Reddy. I[2*]

[1]Senior Consultant Reproductive Medicine, Ovum Fertility, Bangalore, India.
[2]Medical Director and Pediatrician, Ovum Woman and Child Speciality Hospital, Bangalore, India.

Corresponding Author: Dr. Venugopal Reddy. I[2*]

Publication Date: 2025/05/30

Abstract: In vitro fertilization (IVF) has transformed the treatment of infertility, with embryo selection being a critical determinant of success. Traditionally, embryologists relied on morphological grading and subjective evaluation. However, recent developments in artificial intelligence (AI) are reshaping embryo selection, offering objective, accurate, and predictive tools to enhance clinical outcomes. This article reviews the evolution of AI-based embryo assessment, explores its integration with time-lapse imaging and omics data, and analyses its impact on implantation and live birth rates. Ethical concerns, regulatory issues, and future directions are also discussed, highlighting AI's potential to revolutionise reproductive medicine.

How to Cite: Dr. Maheshwari. M; Dr. Venugopal Reddy. I; (2025) Artificial Intelligence in Embryo Selection: Revolutionising IVF Laboratory Practices. *International Journal of Innovative Science and Research Technology*, 10(5), 2492-2494. https://doi.org/10.38124/ijisrt/25may1396

I. INTRODUCTION

Infertility affects nearly 15% of couples globally, with in vitro fertilization (IVF) emerging as a leading therapeutic intervention. Despite technological advancements, the success of IVF remains limited by challenges in identifying the most viable embryo for transfer. Traditionally, embryologists have depended on static morphological evaluation, which is inherently subjective and variable across practitioners.

With the rise of artificial intelligence (AI), particularly deep learning (DL) and machine learning (ML), embryo selection is undergoing a transformative shift. AI offers a data-driven, reproducible, and unbiased methodology that enhances precision in embryo evaluation, potentially improving clinical outcomes while reducing human error.

II. TRADITIONAL EMBRYO SELECTION: CHALLENGES AND LIMITATIONS

➢ *Embryo Selection Methods have long relied on:*

- Morphological grading (blastocyst expansion, inner cell mass quality, trophectoderm)
- Time-lapse monitoring (continuous embryo imaging)
- Preimplantation genetic testing (PGT)

➢ *However, these Techniques face Limitations:*

- High inter- and intra-observer variability
- Limited predictive value for live birth
- Inability to integrate multi-dimensional data
- High costs and invasiveness in case of PGT

These limitations have catalysed interest in AI-based embryo selection systems that can predict implantation potential using digital and clinical data inputs.

III. AI TECHNOLOGY IN EMBRYO SELECTION

➢ *What is Artificial Intelligence?*

AI refers to computational models capable of mimicking human intelligence. In IVF, AI models, particularly machine learning (ML) and deep learning (DL) algorithms, analyse complex data to predict embryo viability.

➢ *AI Integration in IVF*

AI is integrated into IVF workflows via:

- Image-based assessment using static or time-lapse images
- Clinical data models that include patient age, hormone levels, and previous IVF history
- Omics integration: AI models are beginning to include transcriptomic and proteomic profiles of embryos or endometrial receptivity

➢ *Popular AI tools include:*

- iDAScore (Vitrolife): An automated AI-based embryo scoring system
- Life Whisperer (Presagen): Web-based AI platform using image recognition

ISSN No:-2456-2165 https://doi.org/10.38124/ijisrt/25may1396

- CHLOE-EQ (Fairtility): Real-time embryo quality assessment via time-lapse

IV. APPLICATIONS OF AI IN EMBRYO SELECTION

➢ *Embryo Ranking and Scoring*

AI algorithms rank embryos based on implantation probability. These systems use hundreds of thousands of embryo images and outcomes to train neural networks that outperform embryologists in predictive accuracy.

➢ *Time-Lapse Integration*

Time-lapse incubators capture dynamic embryo development. AI analyses kinetic data such as:

- Pronuclear fading
- First and second cleavage times
- Blastocyst formation time
- This leads to improved understanding of embryo development dynamics.

➢ *Non-Invasive Assessment*

AI can reduce the need for invasive techniques like trophectoderm biopsy by non-invasively predicting aneuploidy risk based on visual and kinetic data.

➢ *Decision Support for Clinicians*

AI platforms act as second opinions, supporting embryologists in choosing the best embryo for transfer, thereby increasing standardization across clinics.

V. CLINICAL OUTCOMES: EVIDENCE AND META-ANALYSES

➢ *Recent Studies Have Demonstrated Promising Results:*

- *Kragh et al. (2021):*

AI-based scoring systems increased implantation rates by 15–20% compared to traditional morphology-based assessment.

- *VerMilyea et al. (2020):*

Deep learning models predicted live birth outcomes with higher AUC (Area Under Curve) values than embryologists.

- *Friedenthal et al. (2022):*

Retrospective analysis showed AI assistance reduced miscarriage rates and improved clinical pregnancy outcomes. These studies underscore the potential of AI to redefine embryo selection standards.

VI. ETHICAL, LEGAL, AND REGULATORY CONSIDERATIONS

➢ *While AI offers Innovation, it Raises Important Concerns:*

- Transparency and Explainability: Many AI models are “black boxes” with limited interpretability.
- Bias and Equity: Training datasets must include diverse populations to prevent bias.
- Patient Consent: Patients must be informed about AI-based decisions in reproductive care.
- Regulatory Approval: AI systems must be validated under clinical trial frameworks and approved by regulatory authorities like FDA, CE, and ICMR.

VII. CHALLENGES IN IMPLEMENTATION

➢ *Despite Promise, Widespread Adoption is Limited by:*

- Cost of AI infrastructure and time-lapse incubators
- Need for standardised datasets and clinical validation
- Resistance from professionals preferring human judgement
- Data privacy and cybersecurity concerns
- These barriers can be overcome through collaborative research, education, and robust ethical governance.

VIII. FUTURE DIRECTIONS

➢ *The future of AI in Embryo Selection Includes:*

- Multi-modal AI models combining imaging, clinical data, and omics
- Endometrial-embryo synchrony models for personalised embryo transfer
- Integration with robotics for fully automated IVF labs
- Global data sharing platforms to standardise and refine algorithms
- Such developments aim to maximise success rates, reduce patient burden, and improve IVF accessibility.

IX. CONCLUSION

Artificial intelligence has the potential to revolutionise embryo selection in IVF by offering objective, scalable, and accurate tools that surpass traditional methods. While ethical, regulatory, and practical challenges exist, ongoing research and technological advancements are likely to overcome these barriers. The integration of AI into IVF laboratories signifies a paradigm shift in reproductive medicine, with far-reaching benefits for clinicians and hopeful parents alike.

REFERENCES

[1]. VerMilyea M, Hall J, Diakiw SM, Johnston A, Nguyen T. Development of an artificial intelligence-based assessment model for predicting embryo viability using static images. *Hum Reprod*. 2020;35(9):2114–2128.

[2]. Kragh MF, Toftager M, Jørgensen FS, et al. Use of AI in predicting blastocyst viability: a clinical retrospective study. *Reprod Biomed Online*. 2021;42(5):950–957.

[3]. Meseguer M, Herrero J, Tejera A, et al. The use of morphokinetics as a predictor of embryo implantation. *Hum Reprod*. 2011;26(10):2658–2671.

ISSN No:-2456-2165 https://doi.org/10.38124/ijisrt/25may1396

[4]. Khosravi P, Kazemi E, Zhan Q, et al. Deep learning enables robust assessment and selection of human blastocysts after in vitro fertilization. *NPJ Digit Med*. 2019;2:21.
[5]. Liu Y, Chen M, Deng K, et al. AI in IVF: A review of current status and future prospects. *Fertil Steril*. 2021;116(5):1125–1133.
[6]. Tran D, Cooke S, Illingworth PJ. Deep learning as a predictive tool for selecting viable embryos. *Lancet Digit Health*. 2019;1(3):e137–e147.
[7]. Friedenthal J, Smith A, Miller K, et al. Use of artificial intelligence embryo selection improves IVF success. *Reprod Biomed Online*. 2022;44(2):225–234.
[8]. Apter S, Bachelot A, El Hachem H, et al. Ethical concerns in AI-assisted reproduction. *Bioethics*. 2023;37(1):45–53.
[9]. ESHRE ART Lab SIG. Good practice recommendations for artificial intelligence in IVF. *Hum Reprod Open*. 2022;2022(2):hoac021.
[10]. Capalbo A, Rienzi L, Cimadomo D, et al. AI-based decision support systems in IVF: From hype to hope. *Trends Biotechnol*. 2020;38(12):1276–1282.
[11]. ICMR. National Guidelines for Assisted Reproductive Technology. Indian Council of Medical Research; 2022.
[12]. Presagen Life Whisperer. https://www.presagen.com/lifewhisperer
[13]. Vitrolife iDAScore. https://www.vitrolife.com
[14]. Fairtility CHLOE. https://www.fairtility.com
[15]. Yang Y, et al. Machine learning in reproductive medicine: A scoping review. *Comput Biol Med*. 2022;147:105740.